thrust to human health, fish and aquatic biota. The Record of Decision should include data on levels of mercury contamination in the project area and mitigation measures.

EIS No. 20070170, ERP No. F–NPS–E65081–FL, Castillo de San Marcos National Monument, General Management Plan, Implementation, City of St. Augustine, St. Johns County, FL. Summary: EPA does not object to the action as proposed. EIS No. 20070173, ERP No. F–COE–E36184–FL, Central and Southern Florida Project, New Authorization for Broward County Water Preserve Areas, South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD), Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, (CERP), Broward County, FL. Summary: EPA’s previous concerns have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

EIS No. 20070232, Final EIS, FHW, 00, Bellevue Bridge Study, To Improve Connectivity between the Omaha Metropolitan Area and across the Missouri River from U.S. 75 to I–29, Coast Guard Permit, NPDES Permit, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Mills County, IA and Sarp County, NE., Wait Period Ends: 07/24/2007, Contact: Philip Barnes 515–233–7300.


EIS No. 20070234, Draft EIS, FHW, TX, U.S. 290 Corridor, Propose to Construct Roadway Improvements from Farm-to-Market (FM) 2920 to Interstate Highway (IH) 610, Funding and Right-of-Way Grant, Harris County, TX, Comment Period Ends: 08/03/2007, Contact: Donald E. Davis 512–536–5960.


EIS No. 20070238, Draft EIS, AFS, CA, Mt. Ashland Late-Successional Reserve Habitat Restoration and Fuels Reduction Project, To Promote and Maintain Late-Successional Habitat, Oak Ranger District, Klamath National Forest, Siskiyou County, CA and Jackson County, OR, Comment Period Ends: 07/30/2007, Contact: Susan Stresser 530–841–4538.

EIS No. 20070239, Draft EIS, AFS, CA, Sugarberry Project, Proposes to Protect Rural Communities from Fire Hazards by Constructing Fuel Breaks Known as Defensible Fuel Profile Zones (DFPZs), Feather River Ranger District, Plumas National Forest, Plumas, Sierra, Yuba Counties, CA, Comment Period Ends: 07/30/2007, Contact: John Zarleno 530–534–6500.


EIS No. 20070241, Draft EIS, NPS, 00, Quarry Visitor Center Treatment Project, To Address the Structural Deterioration, Dinosaur National Monument, CO and UT, Comment Period Ends: 08/10/2007, Contact: Tom Thomas 303–969–2310.


The proposed area also includes the navigable reaches of all Connecticut rivers and tidal streams that drain into Long Island Sound within its bounds, including the Housatonic River from the Derby Dam and the Quinnipiac River from the southern border of North Haven.

On February 23, 2007, notice was published that the State of Connecticut had petitioned the Regional Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, to determine that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for the state waters of Branford, East Haven, New Haven, West Haven, Orange, Milford, Stratford, Bridgeport, Fairfield, Westport, Norwalk, Darien, Stamford, and Greenwich. The area also includes the Housatonic River from the Derby Dam and the Quinnipiac River from the southern border of North Haven. Nine comments were received on this petition.

The petition was filed pursuant to Section 312(f)(3) of Public Law 92–500, as amended by Public laws 95–217 and 100–4, for the purpose of declaring these waters a “No Discharge Area” (NDA).

Section 312(f)(3) states: After the effective date of the initial standards and regulations promulgated under this section, if any State determines that the protection and enhancement of the quality of some or all of the waters within such States require greater environmental protection, such State may completely prohibit the discharge from all vessels of any sewage, whether treated or not, into such waters, except that no such prohibition shall apply until the Administrator determines that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for such water to which such prohibition would apply.

The information submitted to EPA by the State of Connecticut certifies that there are 43 pumpout facilities located within the proposed area. A list of the facilities, with phone numbers, locations, and hours of operation is appended at the end of this determination.

Based on the examination of the petition and its supporting documentation and information from site visits by EPA New England staff, EPA has determined that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for the area covered under this determination.

This determination is made pursuant to Section 312(f)(3) of Public Law 92–500, as amended by Public laws 95–217 and 100–4.