Stock Assessment of eastern Bering Sea snow crab

Benjamin J. Turnock and Louis J. Rugolo
National Marine Fisheries Service
September 9, 2011

THIS INFORMATION IS DISTRIBUTED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF PREDISSEMINATION PEER REVIEW UNDER APPLICABLE INFORMATION QUALITY GUIDELINES. IT HAS NOT BEEN FORMALLY DISSEMINATED BY NOAA FISHERIES/ALASKA FISHERIES SCIENCE CENTER AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED TO REPRESENT ANY AGENCY DETERMINATION OR POLICY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A size based model was developed for eastern Bering Sea snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) to estimate population biomass and harvest levels. Model estimates of total mature biomass of snow crab increased from the early 1980's to a peak in 1990 of about 762,100 t. The total mature biomass includes all sizes of mature females and morphometrically mature males. The stock was declared overfished in 1999 due to the survey estimate of total mature biomass (149,900 t) being below the minimum stock size threshold (MSST = 208,710 t). A rebuilding plan was implemented in 2000.
The currency for estimating B_{MSY} changed during the 10 year rebuilding period. Using the current definitions for estimating B_{MSY}, and Model results for any scenario presented here (models 1 through 10), the snow crab stock was above B_{MSY} for the last three years (2008/09, 2009/10 and 2010/11). The total mature observed survey biomass in 2011 was 447,400 t which is also above the Bmsy(418,150 t) in place under the rebuilding plan implemented in 2000. The increase in total mature biomass was mainly due to a large increase in female mature biomass in 2011.

Observed survey mature male biomass increased from 157,310 t in 2010 to 167,400 t in 2011. Observed survey mature female biomass also increased from 145,099 t in 2010 to 280,000 t in 2011 (a 93% increase). The 2011 estimate of males greater than 101 mm was 150.7 million, an increase from 135.0 million in 2010. Observed survey numbers of small crab, and the large increase in mature female biomass in 2011 indicate an above average recruitment moving through the stock.

Model estimates (Model 7) of mature male biomass at mating decreased from 184,900 t in 2009/10 to 179,000 t in 2010/11 (110% of B35% (162,190 t)).

Catch has followed survey abundance estimates of large males, since the survey estimates have been the basis for calculating the GHL (Guideline Harvest Level for retained catch). Retained catches increased from about 3,040 t at the beginning of the directed fishery in 1973 to a peak of 149,110 t in 1991, declined thereafter, then increased to another peak of 110,410 t in 1998. Retained catch in the 1999/2000 fishery was reduced to 15,200 t due to the low abundance estimated by the 1999 survey. A harvest strategy (Zheng et al. 2002) was developed using a simulation model previous to the development of the current stock assessment model, that has

been used to set the GHL since the 2000/01 fishery. Retained catch in the2010/11 fishery was 24,670 t, compared to the 2009/10 fishery catch of 21,785 t. The total catch in the 2010/11 fishery was estimated at 26,720t, below the OFL of 44,400 t.

Estimated discard mortality (mostly undersized males and old shell males) in the directed pot fishery has averaged about 15.5% (with assumed discard mortality of 50%) of the retained catch biomass since 1992 when observers were first placed on crab vessels. Discards prior to 1992 were estimated based on fishery selectivities estimated for the period with observer data and the full selection fishing mortality estimated using the retained catch and retained fishery selectivities.

The assessment model used for the September 2010 assessment was the model recommended by the CPT in May 2010 and the SSC in June 2010 ("Model 5" of the May 2010 assessment, Model 0 of this assessment). The September 2010 assessment model estimated natural mortality for mature male crab in the model (M= 0.29) and included the 2009 study area data to inform estimates of survey Q. This assessment presents 10 model scenarios, and an additional 3 model scenarios in progression from the September 2010 model and the 10 model scenarios. The formulation of survey selectivity for NMFS in the 2009 and 2010 study areas was modified from the September 2010 assessment for all model scenarios 1-10 (see text). The 2010 study area data from BSFRF and NMFS was added to the assessment model scenarios 1-10 as an additional survey for estimation of survey selectivity. Model scenarios 8-10 include the new growth per molt curve estimated from the 2011 growth study (Somerton, pers. Comm.).

The OFL for 2011/12 ranged from 47,200 t to 79,400 t, depending on the model scenario (Table 10). The 2010/11 OFL was 44,400 t

The MMB at mating projected for 2011/12 when fishing at the F35% control rule (OFL) ranged from 88% to 92% of B35%. The MMB projected for 2011/12 when fishing at 75% F35% control rule, ranged from 93% to 99% of B35%. Projected total catch fishing at 75% F35% control rule ranged from 39,200 t to 69,200 t.

Year	Bmsy [a] proxy (1000t)	MSST (1000t)	Biomass (MMB) (1000t)	TAC (1000t)	Retained Catch (1000t)	Total Catch[b] (1000t)	OFL (1000t)
2005/06				16.7	16.8	19.5	NA[c]
2006/07				16.4	16.5	20.4	NA
2007/08	144.1	72.1	98.9	28.6	28.6	35.0	NA
2008/09	148.2	74.1	109.3	26.6	26.5	31.5	35.1
2009/10	133.2	66.6	127.7	21.8	21.8	23.9	33.1
2010/11				24.6	24.7	26.7	44.4
2011/12							

[a] Bmsy proxy for 2009/10 based Sept 2010 assessment.
[b] 50% mortality applied to pot discard mortality, 80% mortality applied to groundfish bycatch.
[c] The first year of implementation of the OFL was 2008/09.

Changes to the Model

Changes to the model for September 2011 from the September 2010 assessment are: 1) Immature M for male and females and mature male M fixed and/or estimated in the model depending on scenario (Tables 7, 8 and 18), 2) formulation of survey selectivity in the 2009 and 2010 study areas for NMFS tows revised (February Crab Modeling Workshop recommendation, see text), 3) Model scenarios 4,5 and 6 estimated the availability curve for BSFRF in the 2009 and 2010 study areas as a smooth function 4) code for calculation of the growth transition matrix revised to work with the most recent versions of ADMB (same as with the Tanner crab model assessment) and 5) postmolt distributions in the growth transition matrix were truncated (set to zero) at 40mm above the premolt bin, 6) Model scenarios 8, 9 and 10 have growth fixed at the growth curve estimated from the 2011 growth study data.

Changes to the Data

The 2010 survey length frequency and biomass data from the BSFRF and NMFS special study area of the Bering Sea were added to the model for estimation of survey selectivity.
2011 Bering Sea survey biomass and length frequency data added to the model. 2010/11 directed fishery retained and discard catch and length frequencies. Groundfish dicard length frequency from 2008-2010 added and 2010 groundfish discard catch.

April 2011 SSC comments

The main issue for the current snow crab assessment concerns incorporation of information into the model from a cooperative field study of gear selectivity between BSFRF and AFSC in 2009 and 2010 (see SSC report, February 2011). Workshop participants examined the study results in depth and provided suggestions on alternative analyses, including averaging 2009 and 2010 results and fitting a mixed effects linear model. Snow crab assessment scientist Jack Turnock (AFSC) presented preliminary results of an analysis which incorporated the experimental results directly into the stock assessment model. Workshop participants were not satisfied with the preliminary results, because, counterintuitively, the 2010 selectivity curve increased dramatically at larger crab sizes, which were poorly represented in the data (also noted by the SSC in their report). Suggestions were made for alternate selectivity curves and inclusion of an availability parameter.

Since the workshop, the stock assessment analyst has continued to develop the model and presented new results at this SSC meeting. He examined 3- and 6-parameter logistic curves and a 23-parameter smooth-penalty function, and included an additional parameter for availability. The resulting selectivity curves were promising, except there was still a hump in male selectivity at small crab sizes using the smoothing approach. Because natural mortality and selectivity are often confounded, assessment author explored the use of higher natural mortality on immature crabs. The likelihood was maximized for values of immature male natural mortality between 0.35 and 0.40, compared to the standard male mortality of 0.23. This also smoothed out the hump and made the curve look more like a logistic curve. The SSC is pleased with the progress that has been made but suggests that immature mortality should be estimated internally in the model. The SSC also notes that the assessment author has followed the spirit of SSC recommendations from

February. ***For the May-June crab meetings, the SSC is supportive of the approach of incorporating the experimental data directly into the assessment model, instead of outside the model as the SSC suggested in February.***

The SSC notes that there are other suggestions contained in our June 2010 and October 2010 reports that still might be useful. These suggestions include estimation of natural mortality for females and mature males, bivariate distributions of catchability and natural mortality, and sensitivity studies of population parameters and reference points to various model components.

In the long term, the SSC recommends that crab researchers pursue further analysis of the experimental data. *This leads to two recommendations that are concisely stated in the workshop report as short-term recommendation 2 (developing a logical scheme to combine the 2009 and 2010 data) and long-term recommendation 1 (developing a negative binomial mixed effects model). This work could help validate the selectivity estimates from the stock assessment model and provide further understanding of the factors affecting selectivity.*

Authors Response to April 2011 SSC Comments

The Base Model presented in this assessment (May 2011) includes the recommendaions of the February Modeling Workshop and the March 2011 SSC, where natural mortality for immature crab is estimated in the model and the 2009 and 2010 experimental data are fit in the model.

May 2011 CPT comments

The team recommends that September 2011 assessment be based on the following six scenarios:

1. *Assume logistic availability, estimate immature M, fixed mature M to 0.23yr^{-1}.*
2. *Assume logistic availability, fix immature M to 0.23 yr^{-1}, estimate mature M with a prior centered at 0.23yr^{-1}.*
3. *Assume logistic availability, estimate immature M, estimate mature M with a prior centered at 0.23yr^{-1}.*
4. *Estimate availability using length-specific parameters subject to a smoothing penalty, estimate immature M, fixed mature M to 0.23yr^{-1}.*
5. *Estimate availability using length-specific parameters subject to a smoothing penalty, fix immature M to 0.23 yr^{-1}, estimate mature M with a prior centered at 0.23yr^{-1}.*
6. *Estimate availability using length-specific parameters subject to a smoothing penalty, estimate immature M, fixed mature M to 0.23yr^{-1}.*

The team agreed that the selectivity pattern for the NMFS survey obtained when separate selectivity parameters were estimated for each length-class was unexpected (dome-shaped), and, while some possible reasons for this were identified (e.g. larger crab burrowing in the substrate, larger crab out of the survey area), the team did not wish to see this option pursued for the September 2011 assessment.

The results presented to the team differ substantially from those of the 2010 assessment. The team therefore recommended that the assessment author provide a sequence of scenarios which start from the model on which the September 2010 was based (model '0') and show the consequences of each change to the model in terms of time-trajectories of MMB and other key

model outputs. This will allow the team the ability to evaluate the major reasons for the changes to the assessment outcomes.

The team identified that the following changes need to be made to the assessment report:

- *Update the document so that it includes all of the material in the powerpoint presentation (before the June SSC review of the report); the document presented to the CPT did not include some key material included in the powerpoint presentation.*
- *Add the fit of the model with separate selectivity parameters for each length-class for the NMFS survey in the Bering Sea to Figures 98 and 99.*
- *Add measures of uncertainty to the circles in Figures 92 and 93.*
- *Fully specify the model (add the equations used to calculate the length-composition of the catches by the BSFRF survey; add the equations which specify the smoothness penalty; specify how the length-frequencies for the NMFS and BSFRF surveys in the BSFRF survey area were computed; clarify that availability and Q_{BSFRF} are year-specific; specify how the 'offsets' are calculated).*
- *Include the CVs for the survey estimates in the table of observed biomass.*
- *Include likelihood profiles over Q and M for immature crab.*
- *Include a list of sources of uncertainty not considered in the within-assessment uncertainty estimated.*
- *Include a retrospective analysis (and comment on the causes for any major changes to the assessment outcomes; e.g. due to exclusion of the 2010 BSFRF-NMFS side-by-side data).*
- *Add a table comparing the likelihood values and discuss it in the text*

The team noted that the results of the likelihood profile for Q as well as models based on alternative assumptions could be used as the basis for ABC recommendations. Only models which are 'plausible' (i.e. at least the fit the data) should be considered when developing ABC recommendations below the maximum ABC.

Authors Response to May 2011 CPT Comments

Model scenarios are presented for 1-6 above as well as an additional scenarios 7-10. Model scenarios 0, 0.1 and 0.2 progression from September 2010 model also run. Likelihood profiles on Q and M included.

June 2011 SSC comments

The SSC received an update on the current status of the snow crab model, which has undergone substantial changes since September 2010. Four models were explored including the September 2010 model that estimates mature male mortality, models estimating immature M with either a logistic or smooth selectivity function, and a model keeping all mortality rates (immature, mature males, mature females) constant at M=0.23 and fixed growth parameters. All other models estimated growth within the model as in September 2010, which greatly improved residual patterns. The SSC agrees that model formulations, which estimate growth within the model is most appropriate. All models also incorporate the BSFRF data and estimate survey selectivity within the model as endorsed by the SSC in April 2011. While the SSC noted some concern that there is still considerable discrepancy between the selectivity curve estimated by

Somerton, as presented to the SSC in December 2010, and the model-based estimates of selectivity, the model takes into account both the 2009 and 2010 survey and the estimated selectivity may reflect a trade-off between somewhat conflicting trends in the 2009 and 2010 data.

The CPT requested six models for the September 2011 assessment that focus on exploring two selectivity options (logistic and smooth selectivities) and three mortality scenarios in a factorial design. ***The SSC concurs with these recommendations and encourages the authors to clearly lay out the consequences of incremental changes to the base model in the September 2011 assessment.***
The SSC re-iterates requests from previous minutes for the authors and the plan team, and other survey specialists to consider for future assessments:

- *Development of a spatial model for snow crab*
- *Evaluation of the weights that are used for different likelihood components and the effective sample size for the multinomial likelihood to increase consistency with how likelihood components are weighted in other assessments (both crab and groundfish) and to provide a better rationale for the values used.*
- *Development of a logical scheme to combine data from the 2009 and 2010 trawl experiments to better understand the factors affecting selectivity.*

Authors Response to June 2011 SSC Comments

Model scenarios are presented for 1-6 above as well as an additional scenarios 7-10. Model scenarios 0, 0.1 and 0.2 progression from September 2010 model also run. Likelihood profiles on Q and M included.

INTRODUCTION

Snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) are distributed on the continental shelf of the Bering Sea, Chukchi Sea, and in the western Atlantic Ocean as far south as Maine. In the Bering Sea, snow crab are common at depths less than about 200 meters. The eastern Bering Sea population within U.S. waters is managed as a single stock; however, the distribution of the population may extend into Russian waters to an unknown degree.

FISHERY HISTORY

Snow crab were harvested in the Bering Sea by the Japanese from the 1960s until 1980 when the Magnuson Act prohibited foreign fishing. Retained catch in the domestic fishery increased in the late 1980's to a high of about 149,110 t in 1991, declined to 29,820 t in 1996, increased to 110,410 t in 1998 then declined to 15,200 t in the 1999/2000 fishery (Table 1, Figure 1). Due to low abundance and a reduced harvest rate, retained catches from 2000/01 to 2006/07 ranged from a low of about 10,860 t to 16,780 t. The retained catch for the 2007/08 fishery increased to 28,600 t and was 26,560 t in 2008/09 due to increasing biomass. The retained catch for the 2009/10 fishery was 21,820 t. The total catch for the 2009/10 fishery was estimated at 23,780 t, which was below the 75% F35% control rule value determined in the September 2009

assessment at 27,180 t (retained catch 22,910 t) and below the 2009/10 OFL of 33,100 t total catch.

Discard from the directed pot fishery was estimated from observer data since 1992 and ranged from 11% to 64% (average 33%) of the retained catch of male crab biomass (Table 1). Female discard catch is very low and not a significant source of mortality. In 1992 trawl discard mortality was about 1,950 t, increased to about 3,550 t in 1995, then declined to about 0900 t to 1,500t until 1999. Trawl bycatch in 2008/09 and 2009/10 was 300 t and 680 t respectively. Discard in groundfish fisheries from highest to lowest snow crab bycatch is the yellowfin sole trawl fishery, flathead sole trawl fishery, Pacific cod bottom trawl fishery, rock sole trawl fishery and the Pacific cod hook and line and pot fisheries.

Size frequency data and catch per pot have been collected by observers on snow crab fishery vessels since 1992. Observer coverage was 10% on catcher vessels larger than 125 ft (since 2001), and 100% coverage on catcher processors (since 1992).

The average size of retained crabs has remained fairly constant over time ranging between 105 mm and 118 mm, and most recently about 110 mm to 111 mm. The percent new shell animals in the catch has varied between 69% (2002 fishery) to 98% (1999), and was 87% for the 2005/6 fishery and 93% in the 2007/8 fishery. In the 2007/8 fishery 94% of the new shell males >101mm CW were retained, while 78% of the old shell males >101mm CW were retained. Only 3% of crab were retained between 78mm and 101 mm CW. The average weight of retained crab has varied between 0.5 kg (1983-1984) and 0.73 kg (1979), and 0.59 kg in the recent fisheries.

Several modifications to pot gear have been introduced to reduce bycatch mortality. In the 1978/79 season, pots used in the snow crab fishery first contained escape panels to prevent ghost fishing. Escape panels consisted of an opening with one-half the perimeter of the tunnel eye laced with untreated cotton twine. The size of the cotton laced panel to prevent ghost fishing was increased in 1991 to at least 18 inches in length. No escape mechanisms for undersized crab were required until the 1997 season when at least one-third of one vertical surface had to contain not less than 5 inches stretched mesh webbing or have no less than four circular rings of no less than 3 3/4 inches inside diameter. In the 2001 season the escapement for undersize crab was increased to at least eight escape rings of no less than 4 inches placed within one mesh measurement from the bottom of the pot, with four escape rings on each side of the two sides of a four-sided pot, or one-half of one side of the pot must have a side panel composed of not less than 5 1/4 inch stretched mesh webbing.

Harvest rates

The harvest rate used to set the GHL (Guideline Harvest Level of retained crab only) previous to 2000 was 58% of the number of male crab over 101 mm carapace width estimated from the survey. The minimum legal size limit for snow crab is 78 mm, however, the snow crab market generally accepts animals greater than 101 mm. In 2000, due to the decline in abundance and the declaration of the stock as overfished, the harvest rate for calculation of the GHL was reduced to 20% of male crab over 101 mm. After 2000, a rebuilding strategy was developed based on simulations by Zheng (2002).

The realized retained catch typically exceeded the GHL historically, resulting in exploitation rates for the retained catch (using survey numbers) ranging from about 60% to 100% for most years (Figure 2). The exploitation fraction is calculated using the abundance for male crab over 101 mm estimated from the survey data reduced by the natural mortality from the time of the survey until the fishery occurs, approximately 7 months later, since the late 1980's. The historical GHL calculation did not include the correction for time lapsed between the survey and the fishery. In 1986 and 1987 the exploitation rate exceeded 1.0 because some crabs are retained that are less than 102 mm, discard mortality of small crabs is also included, and survey catchability may be less than 1.0. The exploitation fraction was derived using the total catch divided by the mature male biomass estimated from the model, ranged from 10% to 60% (Figure 3). The exploitation fraction estimated by dividing the total catch by the model estimate of the crabs over 101 mm ranged from about 15% to 85% (Figure 3). The total exploitation rate on males > 101 mm was 50% to 85% for 1988 to 1994 and 50% to 60% for 1998 and 1999 (year when fishery occurred).

Prior to adoption of Amendment 24, B_{MSY} (921.6 million lbs (418,150 t)) was defined as the average total mature biomass (males and females) estimated from the survey for the years 1983 to 1997 (NPFMC 1998). MSST was defined as 50% of the B_{MSY} value (MSST=460 million lbs of total mature biomass (209,074 t)). The harvest strategy since 2000/1 used a retained crab harvest rate on the mature male biomass of 0.10 on levels of total mature biomass greater than ½ MSST (230 million lbs), increasing linearly to 0.225 when biomass is equal to or greater than B_{MSY} (921.6 million lbs) (Zheng et al. 2002). The GHL was actually set as the number of retained crab allowed in the harvest, calculated by dividing the GHL in lbs by the average weight of a male crab > 101 mm. If the GHL in numbers was greater than 58% of the estimated number of new shell crabs greater than 101 mm plus 25% of the old shell crab greater than 101 mm, the GHL is capped at 58%. If natural mortality is 0.2, then this actually results in a realized exploitation rate cap for the retained catch of 66% at the time of the fishery, occurring approximately 7 months after the survey. The fishing mortality rate that results from this harvest strategy depends on the relationship between mature male size numbers and male numbers greater than 101 mm. The maximum full selection fishing mortality rate is close to 1.0 at the maximum harvest rate of 0.225 of mature male biomass.

DATA

Data Sources

Catch data and size frequencies of retained crab from the directed snow crab pot fishery from 1978 to the 2010/11 season were used in this analysis. Observers were placed on directed crab fishery vessels starting in 1990. Size frequency data on the total catch (retained plus discarded) in the directed crab fishery were available from 1992 to 2009/10. Total discarded catch was estimated from observer data from 1992 to 2010/11 (Table 1). The discarded male catch was estimated for 1978 to 1991 in the model using the estimated fishery selectivities based on the observer data for the period 1992 to 2010/11. The discard catch estimate was multiplied by the assumed mortality of discards from the pot fishery. The mortality of discarded crab was assumed to be 50%. This estimate differs from the current rebuilding harvest strategy used since

2001, which assumes a discard mortality of 25% (Zheng, et al. 2002). The discard mortality assumptions will be discussed in a later section. The discards prior to 1992 may be underestimated due to the lack of escape mechanisms for undersized crab in the pots before 1997.

The following table contains the various data components used in the model,

Data component	Years
Retained male crab pot fishery size frequency by shell condition	1978/79-2010/11
Discarded male and female crab pot fishery size frequency	1992/3-2010/11
Trawl fishery bycatch size frequencies by sex	1991-2010
Survey size frequencies by sex and shell condition ("new" survey data)	1978-2011
Retained catch estimates	1978/79-2010/11
Discard catch estimates from snow crab pot fishery	1992/93-2010/11 from observer data
Trawl bycatch estimates	1973-2010/11
Total survey biomass estimates and coefficients of variation ("new" survey data)	1978-2011
2009 study area biomass estimates and coefficients of variation and length frequencies for BSFRF and NMFS tows	2009
2010 study area biomass estimates and coefficients of variation and length frequencies for BSFRF and NMFS tows	2010

Survey Biomass

Abundance is estimated from the annual eastern Bering Sea (EBS) bottom trawl survey conducted by NMFS (see Rugolo et al. 2003 for design and methods). Since 1989, the survey has sampled stations farther north than previous years (61.2^{o} N previous to 1989). In 1982 the survey net was changed resulting in a change in catchability. Juvenile crabs tend to occupy more inshore northern regions (up to about 63° N) and mature crabs deeper areas to the south of the juveniles (Zheng et al. 2001).

All survey data in this assessment use measured net widths instead of a fixed 50 ft net width used in the September 2009 snow crab assessment (variable net width data were shown for comparison in the September 2009 assessment). Snow crab assessments prior to and including September 2009 used survey biomass estimates for all crab based on an assumed 50 ft net width. In 2009, Chilton et al. (2009) provided new survey estimates based on measured net width. The average measured net width for all tows in the 2009 survey was 17.08 meters which is about 89% of 50ft (15.24 meters) (Chilton et al. 2009). The 2009 mature male survey biomass was 162,890 t using the fixed 50 ft net width and 141,300 t using the measured net width for each tow. The difference between the survey male mature biomass estimates calculated with the fixed 50 ft width and the measured net width is small in the early part of the time series, and then is an average ratio of 0.86 (range 0.81 to 0.90) from 1998 to 2009.

The total mature biomass (all sizes of morphometrically mature males and females) estimated from the survey declined to a low of 82,100 t in 1985, increased to a high of 809,600 t in 1991 (includes northern stations after 1989), then declined to 140,900 t in 1999, when the stock was declared overfished (Table 2 and Figure 4). The mature biomass increased in 2000 and 2001, mainly due to a few large catches of mature females. The survey estimate of total mature biomass increased from 245,000 t in 2009 to 302,400 t in 2010 and increased again to 447,400 t in 2011.

Survey mature male biomass increased from 141,300 t in 2009 to 157,300 t in 2010 and 167,400 t in 2011.
The observed survey estimate of males greater than 101 mm increased from 125.9 million in 2009 to 137.6 million in 2010 and 150.7 million in 2011 (Table 2).

Survey mature female biomass increased from 103,800t in 2009 to 145,100t in 2010 and 280,000 t in 2011 (a 93% increase).

The term mature for male snow crab will be used here to mean morphometrically mature. Morphometric maturity for males refers to a marked change in chelae size (thereafter termed "large claw"), after which males are assumed to be effective at mating. Males are functionally mature at smaller sizes than when they become morphometrically mature, although the contribution of these "small-clawed" males to annual reproductive output is negligible. The minimum legal size limit for the snow crab fishery is 78 mm, however the size for males that are generally accepted by the fishery is >101mm. The historical quotas were based on the survey abundance of large males (>101mm).

Survey Size Composition

Carapace width is measured on snow crab and shell condition noted in the survey and the fishery. Snow crab cannot be aged at present (except by radiometric aging of the shell since last molt) however, shell condition has been used as a proxy for age. Based on protocols adopted in the NMFS EBS trawl survey, shell condition class and presumptive age are as follows: soft shell (SC1) (less than three months from molting), new shell (SC2) (three months to less than one year from molting), old shell (SC3) (two years to three years from molting), very old shell (SC4) (three years to four years form molting), and very very old shell (SC5) (four years or longer from molting). Radiometric aging of shells from terminal molt male crabs (after the last molt of their lifetime) elucidated the relationship between shell condition and presumptive age, which will be discussed in a later section (Nevissi et al 1995).

Survey abundance by size for males and females indicate a moderate level of recruitment moving through the stock and resulting in the recent increase in abundance. (Figures 6 - 8). In 2009 small crab (<50mm) increased in abundance relative to 2008. The 2010 length frequency data show high abundance in the 40 to 50 mm range. The recruitment has progressed into the mature female abundance in 2011. High numbers of small crab in the late 1970's survey data did not follow through the population to the mid-1980's. The high numbers of small crab in the late

1980's resulted in the high biomass levels of the early 1990's and subsequent high catches. Moderate increase in numbers can also be seen in the mid 1990's.

Spatial distribution of catch and survey abundance

The majority of the fishery catch occurs south of 58.5^{o} N., even in years when ice cover did not restrict the fishery moving farther north. In past years, most of the fishery catch occurred in the southern portion of the snow crab range possibly due to ice cover and proximity to port and practical constraints of meeting delivery schedules. In 2004 78% of the catch was south of 58.5^{o} N. (Figure 9). In 2003 and 2004 the ice edge was farther north than past years, allowing some fishing to occur as far north as 60-61° N. Catch in the 2006/07 fishery was similar to recent years (Figure 10) with most catch south of 58 ^{o} N. and west of the Pribilof Islands between about 171° W and 173° W. The pattern of catch was similar to previous years for the 2008/09 fishery however, about 3,580 t of retained catch was taken east and south of the Pribilof Islands at 168 to 167 ^{o} longitude and 55.5 to 56.6 ^{o} latitude which has not occurred in recent years (Figure 11). About 93% of the retained catch came from south of 58.5^{o} N.

Survey data from 2010 estimated a larger abundance of small crab than in 2009 (male and female) mostly in the northern part of the survey area (Figures 12 through 18). Large males (>101mm) were distributed similar to 2009, however, farther south than in previous years (Figure 14). Mature females with less than or equal to half clutch of eggs were mostly in the northern part of the survey area above 58 ^{o} N (Figure 17).

Distribution of snow crab by haul for 2011 are shown in Figures 19 through 25).
Survey data from 2011 show more widespread distributions of male crab greater than 77mm and >101mm (Figures 19 and 21). Immature female snow crab distribution extends farther south than in 2010 (Figures 15 and 22).

The difference between the summer survey distribution of large males and the fishery catch distribution indicates that survey catchability may be less than 1.0 and/or some movement occurs between the summer survey and the winter fishery. However, the exploitation rate on males south of 58.5^{o} N latitude may exceed the target rate, possibly resulting in localized depletion of males from the southern part of their range. Snow crab larvae probably drift north and east after hatching in spring. Snow crab appear to move south and west as they age, however, no tagging studies have been conducted to fully characterize the ontogenetic or annual migration patterns of this stock. High exploitation rates in the southern area may have resulted in a northward shift in snow crab distribution. The last few years of survey data indicate a shift to the south in distribution of snow crab, which reverses the trends seen in early 2000's.

Ernst, et al. (2005) found the centroids of survey summer distributions have moved to the north over time (Figures 26 and 27). In the early 1980's the centroids of mature female distribution were near 58.5 ^{o} N, in the 1990's the centroids were about 59.5 ^{o} N. The centroids of old shell male distribution was south of 58 ^{o} N in the early 1980's, moved north in the late 1980's and early 1990's then shifted back to the south in the late 1990's. The distribution of males>101 mm was about at 58 ^{o} N in the early 1980's, then was farther north (58.5 to 59 ^{o} N) in the late 1980's and early 1990's, went back south in 1996 and 1997 then has moved north with the centroid of

the distribution in 2001 just north of 59 ^{o} N.. The centroids of the catch are generally south of 58 ^{o} N, except in 1987. The centroids of catch also moved north in the late 1980's and most of the 1990's. The centroids of the catch were about at 56.5 ^{o} N in 1997 and 1998, then moved north to above 58.5 ^{o} in 2002.

2009 and 2010 Study Area Data Additional survey data

Bering Sea Fisheries Research Foundation (BSFRF) conducted a survey of 108 tows in 27 survey stations (10,827 sq nm, hereafter referred to as the "study area") in the Bering Sea in summer 2009(Figure 28, see Somerton et al 2010 for more details). The abundance estimated by the BSFRF survey in the study area was 66.9 million male crab >=100 mm compared to 36.7 million for the NMFS tows (Table 3). The NMFS abundance of females >=50mm (121.5 million) was greater than the BSFRF abundance estimate in the study area (113.6 million) (Table 3).

The abundance of male crab in the entire Bering Sea survey for 2009 was greatest in the 30 – 60mm size range (Figure 29). The abundance of crab in the 35 to 60mm size range for the BSFRF net in the study area was very low compared to the abundance of the same size range for the NMFS entire Bering Sea survey. The differences in abundance by size for the NMFS entire Bering Sea survey and the BSFRF study area are due to availability of crab in the study area as well as capture probability. While the abundance of larger male crab for the NMFS net in the study area is less than for the BSFRF, the abundance of females >45 mm is greater for the NMFS net than the BSFRF (Figure 29). This difference may be due to different towing locations for the two nets within the study area, or to higher catchability of females possibly due to aggregation behavior. The ratio of abundance of the NMFS net and BSFRF net in the study area are quite different for males and females (Figure 30). The ratio of abundance indicates a catchability for mature females (mainly 45 – 65 mm) that is greater than 1.0 for the NMFS net.

The largest tows for small (<78mm) male crab in the entire Bering Sea area were north of the study area near St. Matthew Island (Figure 12 and 20). Some higher tows for large males (>=100mm) and for mature females occurred in the study area as well as outside the study areas (Figures 5-18 and 22-24). These distributions indicate that availability of crab of different sizes and sex varies spatial throughout the Bering Sea. The numbers by length and mature biomass by sex for the BSFRF tows and the NMFS tows within the study area were added to the model as an additional survey.

The 2009 estimated snow crab abundance by length in the study area had very low numbers of both male and female crab in the 35 mm to 70 mm range than observed in the Bering sea wide survey(Figures 29 and 30). The ratio of abundance (NMFS/BSFRF) by length for 2009 was 0.2 at about 45 mm increasing gradually to 0.4 at 95mm then increasing steeply to 0.9 to 1.25 above 115 mm (Figure 31). The mean size of crab retained by the fishery is about 110 mm, with minimum size retained about 102mm. Ratios of abundance for female crab were above 1.0 from 45mm to 60mm then declined to 0.5 to 0.8 above 60mm to 80mm. There were very few female crab above 80mm in the population.

The 2010 study area covered a larger portion of the distribution of snow crab than the 2009 study area. The abundance by length for the 2010 study area is very different from the 2009 data, with higher abundance in 2010 of small crab (Figure 32). The expanded estimate (expanded to the study area) of male abundance from BSFRF data is higher than the Bering Sea wide abundance for length from 50mm to about 110mm. Female abundance shows a similar relationship (Figure 33). The ratio of male abundance by length (NMFS/BSFRF) in 2010 increased to 0.6 at 40mm then decreased to about 0.2 at 65-70mm then increased and ranged between 0.3 and 0.4 up to about 112mm (Figure 34). The ratios increased from 0.4 at 112 to about 0.7 at 122mm then to 1.55 at 132mm. The ratio of female abundance by length in 2010 was 0.6 at about 45mm and declined to 0.4 at about 67mm then declined below 0.1 above about 77mm.

Several processes influence net performance. Somerton et al. accounted for area swept, sediment type, depth and crab size. They did not correct for the probability of encountering crab. The 2010 study area data have a number of paired tows where BSFRF caught no crab (within a particular size bin) or where NMFS caught no crab. This creates problems with simply taking the ratio of catches since a number of ratios will be infinity (dividing by 0). This occurs because the paired tows although near in space were not fishing on the same density of crab. In addition, the BSFRF tow covered about 10% of the area of the NMFS tow, due to the narrower net width and the 5 minute tow duration compared to the 30 minute NMFS tow duration. In order to analyze this data, first the ratio of the NMFS density (numbers per nm^2) to the sum of the density of NMFS and BSFRF were calculated (Figure 35 males and Figure 38 females). These values range from 0 to 1.0. The simple mean of these values was estimated by length bin and then transformed to estimate mean catchability by length bin (Figure 39 males Figure 40 females). A value of 0.5 for the ratio of NMFS to sum of density is equivalent to a catchability of 1.0 and 0.33 is catchability of 0.5. The size of the catch for each observation is plotted in Figure 36 (same data as Figure 35).

The BSFRF study provides a rich data set to evaluate net performance. In this survey the sample is the paired tows and the goal would be to evaluate net performance over a wide range of densities, sediment types and depths. Somerton et al. (February 2011 Modeling Workshop) used catch to weight observations for estimation of the selectivity curve. This assumes that trawl performance is influenced by local density of crab (an untested assumption). No weighting of the observations assumes that there is no relationship between catch and the selectivity of crab. If selectivity changes depending on whether catches are high or low, then further study and analysis is needed. Further analysis needs to be done on whether data should be weighted in the initial estimation of the selectivity curve. The unweighted mean values by length bin are higher than the values estimated by Somerton et al. (this meeting). Somerton weights again by survey abundance and adjusts for depth and sediment type in a separate step in the analysis to estimate a Bering Sea wide survey selectivity. Simulation studies are needed to determine the influence of weighting (whether bias is introduced) and whether the distributional assumptions and likelihood equations used in the analysis of the paired tow data are correct and unbiased.

The overall distribution of the ratio of NMFS density to the sum of the densities is skewed with about 140 - 0.0 values and 110 - 1.0 values (Figure 41). The percentage of observations where NMFS caught crab and no crab were caught by the BSFRF tow increases by size bin for male crab (Figures 42 through 46).

Catches of male crab decrease with size simply because they are lower in abundance in the population. At sizes of male crab greater than about 90 mm the fraction of observations where the ratio of NMFS density to the sum of densities was 1.0 and 1 crab was caught in the net was about 10% to 30%. In other, words the majority of the tows involved more than 1 crab caught.

The mean values of the ratio of NMFS density to the sum of densities for female crab transformed to catchability increase from less than 0.1 at 25mm to about 0.5 at 55mm then decrease slightly above 70mm (Figures 38 and 40).

Weight - Size

The weight (kg) – size (mm) relationship was estimated from survey data, where weight = a* $size^b$. Juvenile female a= 0.00000253, b=2.56472. Mature female a=0.000675 b=2.943352, and males, a= 0.00000023, b=3.12948 (Figure 47).

Maturity

Maturity for females was determined by visual examination during the survey and used to determine the fraction of females mature by size for each year. Female maturity was determined by the shape of the abdomen, by the presence of brooded eggs or egg remnants.

Morphometric maturity for males is determined by chela height measurements, which are available starting from the 1989 survey (Otto 1998). The number of males with chela height measurements has varied between about 3,000 and 7,000 per year. In this report a mature male refers to a morphometrically mature male.

One maturity curve for males was estimated using the average fraction mature based on chela height data and applied to all years of survey data to estimate mature survey numbers. The separation of mature and immature males by chela height at small widths may not be adequately refined given the current measurement to the nearest millimeter. Chela height measured to the nearest tenth of a millimeter (by Canadian researchers on North Atlantic snow crab) shows a clear break in chela height at small and large widths and shows fewer mature animals at small widths than the Bering Sea data measured to the nearest millimeter. Measurements taken in 2004-2005 on Bering Sea snow crab chela to the nearest tenth of a millimeter show a similar break in chela height to the Canadian data (Rugolo et al. 2005).

The probability of a new shell crab maturing was estimated in the model at a smooth function to move crab from immature to mature (Figure 48). The probability of maturing was estimated to match the observed fraction mature for all mature males and females observed in the survey data. The probability of maturing was fixed in the September 2009 assessment. The probability of maturing by size for female crab was about 50% at about 48 mm and increased to 100% at 60mm (Figure 49). The probability of maturing for male crab was about 15% to 20% at 60 mm to 90mm and increased sharply to 50% at about 98mm, and 100% at 108 mm.

Natural Mortality

Natural mortality is an essential control variable in population dynamic modeling, and may have a large influence on derived optimal harvest rates. Natural mortality rates estimated in a population dynamics model may have high uncertainty and may be correlated with other parameters, and therefore are usually fixed. The ability to estimate natural mortality in a population dynamics model depends on how the true value varies over time as well as other factors (Fu and Quinn 2000, Schnute and Richards 1995).

Nevissi, et al. (1995) used radiometric techniques to estimate shell age from last molt (Table 5). The total sample size was 21 male crabs (a combination of Tanner and snow crab) from a collection of 105 male crabs from various hauls in the 1992 and 1993 NMFS Bering Sea survey. Fishing mortality rates before and during the time period when these crab were collected were relatively high, and therefore maximum age would represent Z (total mortality) rather than M. Representative samples for the 5 shell condition categories were collected that made up the 105 samples. The oldest looking crab within shell conditions 4 and 5 were selected from the total sample of SC4 and SC5 crabs to radiometrically age (Orensanz, pers comm.). Shell condition 5 crab (SC5 = very, very old shell) had a maximum age of 6.85 years (s.d. 0.58, 95% CI approximately 5.69 to 8.01 years). The average age of 6 crabs with SC4 (very old shell) and SC5, was 4.95 years. The range of ages was 2.70 to 6.85 years for those same crabs. Given the small sample size, this maximum age may not represent the 1.5% percentile of the population that is approximately equivalent to Hoenig's method (1983). Maximum life span defined for a virgin stock is reasonably expected to be longer than these observed maximum ages from exploited populations. Radiometric ages estimated by Nevissi, et al. (1995) may be underestimated by several years, due to the continued exchange of material in crab shells even after shells have hardened (Craig Kastelle, pers. comm., Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA).

Tag recovery evidence from eastern Canada reveal observed maximum ages in exploited populations of 17-19 years (Nevissi, et al. 1995, Sainte-Marie 2002). A maximum time at large of 11 years for tag returns of terminally molted mature male snow crab in the North Atlantic has been recorded since tagging started about 1993 (Fonseca, et al. 2008). Fonseca, et al. (2008) estimated a maximum age of 7.8 years post terminal molt using data on dactal wear.

We reasoned that in a virgin population of snow crab, longevity would be at least 20 years. Hence, we used 20 years as a proxy for longevity and assumed that this age would represent the upper 99th percentile of the distribution of ages in an unexploited population if observable. Under negative exponential depletion, the 99th percentile corresponding to age 20 of an unexploited population corresponds to a natural mortality rate of 0.23. Using Hoenig's (1983) method an M=0.23 corresponds to a maximum age of 18 years (Table 6). M=0.23 was used for all female crab in the model. Male natural mortality estimated in the model with a prior constraint of mean M=0.23 with a se = 0.054 estimated from using the 95% CI of +-1.7 years on maximum age estimates from dactal wear and tag return analysis in Fonseca, et al. (2008).

Molting probability

Female and male snow crab have a terminal molt to maturity. Many papers have dealt with the question of terminal molt for Atlantic Ocean mature male snow crab (e.g., Dawe, et al. 1991). A laboratory study of morphometrically mature male Tanner crab, which were also believed to have a terminal molt, found all crabs molted after two years (Paul and Paul 1995). Bering Sea male snow crab appear to have a terminal molt based on data on hormone levels (Tamone et al. 2005) and findings from molt stage analysis via setagenesis. The models presented here assume a terminal molt for both males and females.

Male Tanner and snow crabs that do not molt (old shell) may be important in reproduction. Paul et al. (1995) found that old shell mature male Tanner crab out-competed new shell crab of the same size in breeding in a laboratory study. Recently molted males did not breed even with no competition and may not breed until after about 100 days from molting (Paul et al. 1995). Sainte-Marie et al. (2002) states that only old shell males take part in mating for North Atlantic snow crab. If molting precludes males from breeding for a three month period, then males that are new shell at the time of the survey (June to July), would have molted during the preceding spring (March to April), and would not have participated in mating. The fishery targets new shell males, resulting in those animals that molted to maturity and to a size acceptable to the fishery of being removed from the population before the chance to mate. Animals that molt to maturity at a size smaller than what is acceptable to the fishery may be subjected to fishery mortality from being caught and discarded before they have a chance to mate. However, new shell males will be a mixture of crab less than 1 year from terminal molt and 1+ years from terminal molt due to the inaccuracy of shell condition as a measure of shell age.

Crabs in their first few years of life may molt more than once per year, however, the smallest crabs included in the model are probably 3 or 4 years old and would be expected to molt annually. The growth transition matrix was applied to animals that grow, resulting in new shell animals. Those animals that don't grow become old shell animals. Animals that are classified as new shell in the survey are assumed to have molted during the last year. The assumption is that shell condition (new and old) is an accurate measure of whether animals have molted during the previous year. The relationship between shell condition and time from last molt needs to be investigated further. Additional radiometric aging for male and female snow crab shells is being investigated to improve the estimate of radiometric ages from Orensanz (unpub. data).

Mating ratio and reproductive success

Full clutches of unfertilized eggs may be extruded and appear normal to visual examination, and may be retained for several weeks or months by snow crab. Resorbtion of eggs may occur if not all eggs are extruded resulting in less than a full clutch. Female snow crab at the time of the survey may have a full clutch of eggs that are unfertilized, resulting in overestimation of reproductive potential. Male snow crab are sperm conservers, using less than 4% of their sperm at each mating. Females also will mate with more than one male. The amount of stored sperm and clutch fullness varies with sex ratio (Sainte-Marie 2002). If mating with only one male is inadequate to fertilize a full clutch, then females will need to mate with more than one male,

necessitating a sex ratio closer to 1:1 in the mature population, than if one male is assumed to be able to adequately fertilize multiple females.

The fraction barren females and clutch fullness observed in the survey increased in the early 1990's then decreased in the mid- 1990's then increased again in the late 1990's (Figures 49 and 50). The highest levels of barren females coincides with the peaks in catch and exploitation rates that occurred in 1992 and 1993 fishery seasons and the 1998 and 1999 fishery seasons. While the biomass of mature females was high in the early 1990's, the rate of production from the stock may have been reduced due to the spatial distribution of the catch relative and the resulting sex ratio in areas of highest reproductive potential. The percentage of barren females was low in 2006, increased in 2007, then declined in 2008 and 2009 to below 1 percent for new and old shell females and about 17% for very old females. Clutch fullness for new shell females declined slightly in 2009 relative to 2008, however, on average is about 70% compared to about 80% before 1997. Clutch fullness for old and very old shell females was high in 2006, declined in 2007, then was higher in 2009 (about 78% old shell and 60% very old).

The fraction of barren females in the 2003 and 2004 survey south of 58.5 ^{o} N latitude was generally higher than north of 58.5 ^{o} N latitude (Figures 51 and 52). In 2004 the fraction barren females south of 58.5 ^{o} N latitude was greater for all shell conditions. In 2003, the fraction barren was greater for new shell and very very old shell south of 58.5 ^{o} N latitude.

Laboratory analysis of female snow crab collected in waters colder than 1.5 ^{o} C from the Bering Sea have been determined to be biennial spawners in the Bering Sea. Future recruitment may be affected by the fraction of biennial spawning females in the population as well as the estimated fecundity of females, which may depend on water temperature.

An index of reproductive potential for crab stocks needs to be defined that includes spawning biomass, fecundity, fertilization rates and frequency of spawning. In most animals, spawning biomass is a sufficient index of reproductive potential because it addresses size related impacts on fecundity, and because the fertilization rates and frequency of spawning are relatively constant over time. This is not the case for snow crab.

The centroids of the cold pool (<2.0 ^{o} C) were estimated from the summer survey data for 1982 to 2006 (Figure 53). The centroid is the average latitude and average longitude. In the 1980's the cold pool was farther south(about 58 to 59 ^{o} N latitude) except for 1987 when the centroid shifted to north of 60 ^{o} N latitude. The cold pool moved north from about 58 ^{o} N latitude in 1999 to about 60.5 ^{o} N latitude in 2003. The cold pool was farthest south in 1989, 1999 and 1982 and farthest north in 1987, 1998, 2002 and 2003. In 2005 the cold pool was north, then in 2006 back to the south. The last three years (2007, 2008 and 2009) have all been cold years.

The clutch fullness and fraction of unmated females however, does not account for the fraction of females that may have unfertilized eggs. The fraction of barren females observed in the survey may not be an accurate measure of fertilization success because females may retain unfertilized eggs for months after extrusion. To examine this hypothesis, RACE personnel sampled mature females from the Bering Sea in winter and held them in tanks until their eggs hatched in March of the same year. All females then extruded a new clutch of eggs in the

absence of males. All eggs were retained until the crabs were sacrificed near the end of August. Approximately 20% of the females had full clutches of unfertilized eggs. The unfertilized eggs could not be distinguished from fertilized eggs by visual inspection at the time they were sacrificed. Indices of fertilized females based on the visual inspection method of assessing clutch fullness and percent unmated females may overestimate fertilized females and not an accurate index of reproductive success.

McMullen and Yoshihara (1969) examined female red king crab around Kodiak Island in 1968 and found high percentages of females without eggs in areas of most intense fishing (up to 72%). Females that did not extrude eggs and mate were found to resorb their eggs in the ovaries over a period of several months. One trawl haul captured 651 post-molt females and nine male red king crab during the period April to May 1968. Seventy-six percent of the 651 females were not carrying eggs. Ten females were collected that were carrying eggs and had firm post-molt shells. The eggs were sampled 8 and 10 days after capture and were examined microscopically. All eggs examined were found to be infertile. This indicates that all ten females had extruded and held egg clutches without mating. Eggs of females sampled in October of 1968 appear to have been all fertile from a table of results in McMullen and Yoshihara(1969), however the results are not discussed in the text, so this is unclear. This may mean that extruded eggs that are unfertilized are lost between May and October.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

Model Structure

The model structure was developed following Fournier and Archibald's (1982) methods, with many similarities to Methot (1990). The model was implemented using automatic differentiation software developed as a set of libraries under C++ (ADModel Builder). ADModel Builder can estimate a large number of parameters in a non-linear model using automatic differentiation software extended from Greiwank and Corliss (1991) and developed into C++ class libraries. This software provides the derivative calculations needed for finding the objective function via a quasi-Newton function minimization routine (e.g., Press et al. 1992). The model implementation language (ADModel Builder) gives simple and rapid access to these routines and provides the ability to estimate the variance-covariance matrix for all parameters of interest.

The model estimates the abundance by length bin and sex in the first year (1978) as parameters rather than estimating the recruitments previous to 1978. This results in 44 estimated parameters.

Recruitment is determined from the estimated mean recruitment, the yearly recruitment deviations and a gamma function that describes the proportion of recruits by length bin,

$$N_{t,1} = pr_l e^{R_0^l + \tau_t}$$

where,

R_0^l Log Mean recruitment

pr_l Proportion of recruits for each length bin

τ_t Recruitment deviations by year.

Recruitment is estimated equal for males and females in the model.

Crab were distributed into 5mm CW length bins based on a pre-molt to post-molt length transition matrix. For immature crab, the number of crabs in length bin *l* in year *t-1* that remain immature in year *t* is given by,

$$N_{t,l}^{s} = (1-\phi_l^s) \sum_{l=l_1}^{l'} \psi_{l',l}^{s} \; e^{-Z_{l'}^{s}} N_{t-1,l'}^{s}$$

$\psi_{l',l}^{s}$	growth transition matrix by sex, pre-molt and post-molt length bins which defined the fraction of crab of sex *s* and pre-molt length bin *l'*, that moved to length bin *l* after molting,
$N_{t,l}^{s}$	abundance of immature crab in year *t*, sex *s* and length bin *l*,
$N_{t-1,l'}^{s}$	abundance of immature crab in year *t-1*, sex s and length bin *l'*,
$Z_{l'}^{s}$	total instantaneous mortality by sex *s* and length bin *l'*,
ϕ_l^s	fraction of immature crab that became mature for sex *s* and length bin *l*,
l'	pre-molt length bin,
l	post-molt length bin.

Growth

Very little information exists on growth for Bering Sea snow crab. Tagging experiments were conducted on snow crab in 1980 with recoveries occurring in the Tanner crab (*Chionoecetes bairdi*) fishery in 1980 to 1982 (Mcbride 1982). All tagged crabs were males greater than 80mm CW and which were released in late May of 1980. Forty-nine tagged crabs were recovered in the Tanner crab fishery in the spring of 1981 of which only 5 had increased in carapace width. It is not known if the tags inhibited molting or resulted in mortality during molting, or the extent of tag retention. One crab was recovered after 15 days in the 1980 fishery, which apparently grew from 108 mm to 123 mm carapace width. One crab was recovered in 1982 after almost 2 years at sea that increased from 97 to 107 mm.

Growth data from 14 male crabs collected in March of 2003 that molted soon after being captured were used to estimate a linear function between premolt and postmolt width (Lou Rugolo unpublished data, Figure 54). The crabs were measured when shells were still soft because all died after molting, so measurements are probably underestimates of postmolt width

(Rugolo, pers. com.). Growth appears to be greater than growth of some North Atlantic snow crab stocks (Sainte-Marie 1995). Growth from the 1980 tagging of snow crab was not used due to uncertainty about the effect of tagging on growth. No growth measurements exist for Bering Sea snow crab females. North Atlantic growth data indicate growth is slightly less for females than males.

Growth was modeled using a linear function to estimate the mean width after molting given the mean width before molting (Figure 55),

$$\text{Width}_{t+1} = a + b^* \text{ width}_t$$

Where a = 6.773 , b = 1.16 , for males and a= 6.773 , b= 1.05 , for females.

The parameters a and b were estimated from the observed growth data for Bering Sea male snow crab. However, the intercept for both male and female crab was estimated as the average of the intercepts estimated for males from the Bering Sea data and the value assumed for females. Equal intercepts were used because growth of both sexes is probably equal at some small size. The growth parameters are estimated in the model using the observed values as constraints, with standard errors estimated from Canadian growth data.

A new growth curve was estimated by Somerton (pers. Comm.) from snow crab males collected in 2011 combined with data from Rugolo(pers.Comm.) as a three parameter equation (Figure 55),

post-molt CW = -0.75 + 1.39 Premolt CW – 0.0015 * (Premolt CW)^2

Model scenarios 8, 9 and 10 use the above growth curve fixed in the model.

Crab were assigned to 5mm width bins using a two-parameter gamma distribution with mean equal to the growth increment by sex and length bin and a beta parameter (which determines the variance),

$$\psi^s_{l',l} = \int_{l-2.5}^{l+2.5} gamma(l / \alpha_{s,l'}, \beta_s)$$

where,

$\alpha_{s,l'}$ expected growth interval for sex *s* and size *l'* divided by the shape parameter β,

$\psi^s_{l',l}$ growth transition matrix for sex, *s* and length bin *l'* (pre-molt size), and post-molt size *l*.

The Gamma distribution was,

$$gamma(l / \alpha_{s,l}, \beta_s) = \frac{l^{\alpha_{s,l}-1} e^{-\frac{l}{\beta_s}}}{\beta^{\alpha_{s,l}} \Gamma(\alpha_{s,l})}$$

where l is the length bin, β for both males and females was set equal to 0.75, which was estimated from growth data on Bering Sea Tanner and King crab due to the small amount of growth data available for snow crab. The distribution was truncated at postmolt sizes greater 40mm above the premolt size due to problems in estimation of very small values in the growth transition matrix, and that crab would not be expected to have a larger molt increment than 40mm. There was no difference in the results of the model with the truncated growth matrix and without.

The probability of an immature crab becoming mature by size is applied to the post-molt size. Crab that mature and reach their terminal molt in year t then are mature new shell during their first year of maturity. The abundance of newly mature crab ($\Omega^s_{t,l}$) in year t is given by,

$$\Omega^s_{t,l} = \phi^s_l \sum_{L=l_1}^{l'} \psi^s_{l',l} \, e^{-Z^s_{l'}} N^s_{t-1,l'}$$

Crab that were mature SC2 in year *t-1* no longer molt and move to old shell mature crab (SC3+) in year t ($\Lambda^s_{t,l}$). Crab that are SC3+ in year *t-1* remained old shell mature for the rest of their lifespan. The total old shell mature abundance ($\Lambda^s_{t,l}$) in year t is the sum of old shell mature crab in year *t-1* plus previously new shell (SC2) mature crabs in year *t-1*,

$$\Lambda^s_{t,l} = e^{-Z^{s,old}_l} \Lambda^s_{t-1,l} + e^{-Z^{s,new}_l} \Omega^s_{t-1,l}$$

The fishery is prosecuted in early winter prior to growth in the spring. Crab that molted in year *t-1* remain as SC2 until after the spring molting season. Crab that molted to maturity in year *t-1* are SC2 through the fishery until the spring molting season after which they become old shell mature (SC3).

Mature male biomass (MMB) was calculated as the sum of all mature males at the time of mating multiplied by respective weight at length.

$$B_t = \sum_{L=1}^{lbins} (\Lambda^{males}_{tm,l} + \Omega^{males}_{tm,l}) W^{males}_l$$

tm	nominal time of mating after the fishery and before molting,
$lbins$	number of length bins in the model,
$\Lambda^{males}_{tm,l}$	abundance of mature old shell males at time of mating in length bin l,
$\Omega^{males}_{tm,l}$	abundance of mature new shell males at the time of mating in length bin l,
W_l	mean weight of a male crab in length bin l.

Catch of male snow crab was estimated as a pulse fishery 0.62 yr after the beginning of the assessment year (July 1),

$$catch = \sum_{l} (1 - e^{-(F * Sel_l + Ftrawl * TrawlSel_l)}) w_l N_l e^{-M * .62}$$

F	Full selection fishing mortality determined from the control rule using biomass including implementation error
$Sel_{,l}$	Fishery selectivity for length bin l for male crab
Ftrawl	Fishing mortality for trawl bycatch fixed at 0.01 (average F)
$TrawlSel_l$	Trawl bycatch fishery selectivity by length bin l
W_l	weight by length bin l
N_l	Numbers by length for length bin l
M	Natural Mortality

Selectivity

The selectivity curve total catch, female discard and groundfish bycatch were estimated as two-parameter ascending logistic curves (Figure 56 and 67).

$$S_l = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a\ (l - b\ )}}$$

The probability of retaining crabs by size with combined shell condition was estimated as an ascending logistic function. The selectivities for the retained catch were estimated by multiplying a two parameter logistic retention curve by the selectivities for the total catch.

$$S_{ret,l} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a(l-b)}} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-c_{ret}(l - d_{ret})}}$$

The selectivities for the survey were estimated with three-parameter (Q, L95% and L50%), ascending logistic functions (Survey selectivities in Figure 57).

$$\text{Selectivity}_l = \frac{Q}{1 + e^{\left\{ \frac{-\ln(19)(l - l_{50\%})}{(l_{95\%} - l_{50\%})} \right\}}}$$

Separate survey selectivities were estimated for the period 1978 to 1981, 1982 to 1988, and 1989 to the present. Survey selectivities were estimated separately for males and females in the 1989 to present period. The maximum selectivity(Q) for each time period was estimated in the model for the Base Model. The separate selectivities were used due to the change in catchability in 1982 from the survey net change, and the addition of more survey stations to the north of the

survey area after 1988. Survey selectivities have been estimated for Bering Sea snow crab from underbag trawl experiments (Somerton and Otto 1999). A bag underneath the regular trawl was used to catch animals that escaped under the footrope of the regular trawl, and was assumed to have selectivity equal to 1.0 for all sizes. The selectivity was estimated to be 50% at about 74 mm, 0.73 at 102 mm, and reached about 0.88 at the maximum size in the model of 135 mm.

Likelihood Equations

Weighting values (λ) for each likelihood equation are shown in Table 14.

Catch biomass is assumed to have a normal distribution,

$$\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left[C_{t,fishery,obs} - C_{t,fishery.pred} \right]^2$$

There are separate likelihood components for the retained and total catch.

The robust multinomial likelihood is used for length frequencies from the survey and the catch (retained and total) for the fraction of animals by sex in each 5mm length interval. The number of samples measured in each year is used to weight the likelihood. However, since thousands of crab are measured each year, the sample size was set at 200.

$$Length\,Likelihood = -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{l=1}^{L} nsamp_t * p_{t,l} \log(\hat{p}_{t,l} + o) - Offset$$

$$Offset = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{l=1}^{L} nsamp_t * p_{t,l} \log(p_{t,l})$$

Where, T is the number of years, $p_{t,l}$ is the proportion in length bin *l*, an *o* is fixed at 0.001.

An additional length likelihood weight (2) is added to the first year survey length composition fit to facilitate the estimation of the initial abundance parameters. A smoothness constraint is also added to the numbers at length by sex in the first year,

$$\sum_{S=1}^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{L} (first\,differences(N_{1978,s,l}))^2$$

The survey biomass (including biomass in the 2009 study area) assumes a lognormal distribution with the inverse of the standard deviation of the log(biomass) in each year used as a weight,

The survey biomass assumes a lognormal distribution with the inverse of the standard deviation of the log(biomass) in each year used as a weight,

$$\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{ts} \left[\frac{\log(SB_t) - \log(S\hat{B}_t)}{sqrt(2) * s.d.(\log(SB_t))} \right]^2$$

$$s.d.(\log(SB_t)) = sqrt(\log((cv(SB_t))^2 + 1))$$

Recruitment deviations likelihood equation is,

$$\lambda \sum_{s=1}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \tau_{s,t}^2$$

Smooth constraint on probability of maturing by sex and length

$$\sum_{S=1}^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{L} (first\ differences(first\ differences(PM_{s,l}))^2$$

Where $PM_{s,l}$ is a vector of parameters that define the probability of molting.

Fishery cpue in average number of crab per pot lift.

$$\sum_{t=1}^{tf} \left[\frac{\log(CPUE_t) - \log(\hat{C}PUE_t)}{sqrt(2) * s.d.(\log(CPUE_t))} \right]^2$$

Penalties on Fishing mortalities.

Penalty on average F for males (low weight in later phases),

$$\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{T} (F_t - 1.15)^2$$

Fishing mortality deviations for males,

$$\lambda \sum_{s=1}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_{s,t}^{2}$$

Female bycatch fishing mortality penalty.

$$\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\varepsilon_{female,t})^{2}$$

Trawl bycatch fishing mortality penalty

$$\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\varepsilon_{trawl,t})^{2}$$

Male natural mortality, when estimated in the model uses a penalty which assumes a normal distribution. A 95% CI of +/- 1.7 yrs translates to a 95% CI in M of about +-0.025 using an exponential model, which is a CV= 0.054.

$$0.5(\frac{M-0.23}{0.0125})^{2}$$

No penalty was used when immature M was estimate.
Growth parameters were estimated in the model using a penalty which assumes a normal distribution,

$$0.5(\frac{a-6.773}{0.3})^{2}$$

Where a is the intercept parameter of the linear growth equation and is the same for males and females.

Likelihood equations for the slope parameters assumed sd=0.1 for both males (bm)and females (bf).

$$0.5(\frac{bm-1.16}{0.1})^{2}$$

$$0.5(\frac{bf-1.05}{0.1})^{2}$$

There were a total of 276 to 323 parameters estimated in the model, depending on scenario (Table 16) for the 34 years of data (1978-2011). The 96 fishing mortality parameters (one set for the male catch, one set for the female discard catch, and one set for the trawl fishery bycatch) estimated in the model were constrained so that the estimated catch fit the observed catch closely. There were 34 recruitment parameters estimated in the model, one for the mean recruitment, 33 for each year from 1979 to 2011 (male and female recruitment were fixed to be equal). There were 8 fishery selectivity parameters that did not change over time as in previous assessments. Survey selectivity was estimated for three different periods resulting in 9 parameters for males and 9 parameters for females estimated. There were 12 survey selectivity parameters were estimated for the study area BSFRF male and female logistic availability curves. 22 parameters for each year (2009 and 2010) and sex were estimated for the availability curve for BSFRF in model scenarios 4, 5 and 6. One or two parameters for natural mortality (depending on scenario) and 3 growth parameters were also estimated, except for models 8, 9 and 10 were the new growth curve was fixed.

Molting probabilities for mature males and females were fixed at 0, i.e., growth ceases at maturity which is consistent with the terminal molt paradigm (Rugolo et al. 2005 and Tamone et al. 2005). Molting probabilities were fixed at 1.0 for immature females and males. The intercept and slope of the linear growth function of postmolt relative to premolt size were estimated in the model (3 parameters, Table 13). A gamma distribution was used in the growth transition matrix with the beta parameters fixed at 0.75 for male and females.

The model separates crabs into mature, immature, new shell and old shell, and male and female for the population dynamics. The model estimate of survey mature biomass is fit to the observed survey mature biomass time series by sex. The model fits the size frequencies of the survey by immature and mature separately for each sex. The probability of immature crab maturing was estimated in the model using 22 parameters for each sex with a second difference smooth constraint (44 total parameters). The model fits the size frequencies for the pot fishery catch by new and old shell and by sex.

Crabs 25 mm CW (carapace width) and larger were included in the model, divided into 22 size bins of 5 mm each, from 25-29 mm to a plus group at 130-135mm. In this report the term size as well as length will be considered synonymous with CW. Recruits were distributed in the first few size bins using a two parameter gamma distribution with the parameters estimated in the model. The alpha parameter of the distribution was fixed at 11.5 and the beta parameter was fixed at 4.0. Seventy parameters were estimated for the initial population size composition of new and old shell males and females in 1978. No spawner-recruit relationship was used in the population dynamics part of the model. Recruitments for each year were estimated in the model to fit the data.

The NMFS trawl survey occurs in summer each year, generally in June-July. In the model, the time of the survey is considered to be the start of the year (July), rather than January. The modern directed snow crab pot fishery has occurred generally in the winter months (January to February) over a short period of time. In contrast, in the early years the fishery occurred over a longer time period. The mean time of the fishery was estimated from the weighted distribution of catch by day for each year. The fishing mortality was applied all at once at the mean time for

that year. Natural mortality is applied to the population from the time the survey occurs until the fishery occurs, then catch is removed. After the fishery occurs, growth and recruitment take place (in spring), with the remainder of the natural mortality through the end of the year as defined above.

Discard mortality

Discard mortality was assumed to be 50% for this assessment. The fishery for snow crabs occurs in winter when low temperatures and wind may result in freezing of crabs on deck before they are returned to the sea. Short term mortality may occur due to exposure, which has been demonstrated in laboratory experiments by Zhou and Kruse (1998) and Shirley (1998), where 100% mortality occurred under temperature and wind conditions that may occur in the fishery. Even if damage did not result in short term mortality, immature crabs that are discarded may experience mortality during molting some time later in their life.

Model Scenarios

The analysis presented here builds on earlier analyses by addressing key recommendations from the February 2011 crab modeling workshop, the SSC April and June 2011 and May 2011 CPT recommendations. The CPT and SSC in 2010 and 2011, recommended the use of the BSFRF 2009 and 2010 survey data as an alternative survey in the assessment model to inform estimates of survey selectivity. Table 7 contains a description of all model scenarios.

The model used in the September 2010 assessment estimated natural mortality for mature male crab and growth parameters for male and female crab. Survey selectivities for the BSFRF and NMFS data in the study area are also estimated separately for males and females. Small crab (<40mm) were removed from the2009 study area data to allow the use of three parameter logistic curves to estimate survey selectivity and obtain a good fit to length data. The removal of small crab solves the problem of lack of fit of very small crab confounding estimates of selectivity of larger crab. While a survey that has a consistent catchability of small crab is desirable for recruitment estimation, the purpose of the surveys in the study area was mainly to inform survey selectivity of mature and larger crab.

Following the recommendation of the CPT and SSC, abundance estimates by length as well as survey biomass for the study area for the BSFRF tows as well as the NMFS tows were added to the stock assessment model as an additional survey. Survey selectivities were estimated using logistic curves for males and females for the NMFS standard survey in the entire Bering Sea area and the BSFRF tows in the study area (Model scenarios 1,2,3,7,8,9,10, Table 7). Model scenarios 4,5,and 6 use a smooth function for the BSFRF availability for male crab in the study areas. Likelihood equations were added to the model for fits to the length frequency by sex for the BSFRF tows in the study area and the NMFS tows in the study area. A likelihood equation was also added for fit to the mature biomass by sex in the study area for the BSFRF tows and NMFS tows separately.

The Model scenarios presented here include a formulation of the NMFS study area survey selectivity that has been revised from the September 2010 assessment model.

The maximum selectivity in the September 2010 assessment for the NMFS study area was estimated by the product of the Q for the NMFS Bering Sea area and the Q for the BSFRF survey in the study area. The Q for the BSFRF survey in the study area was assumed to represent the fraction of crab available in the study area relative to the entire Bering Sea. The maximum catchability of the BSFRF net in the study area was assumed to be 1.0. The maximum survey selectivity (Q) estimated for the entire Bering Sea area in Somerton et al. 2010 was estimated at 0.76 at 140 mm. The maximum size bin in the model is 130-135, which for the Somerton curve has a maximum selectivity of 0.75.

The survey selectivity for the NMFS net in the study area in the September 2010 model was formulated as,

$$\widetilde{C}_l^s = N_l \, Q_{BSFRF}^s S_l^s Q_{NMFS}^n$$

$C_l^s =$ numbers by length for NMFS net in the study area (s)

$S_l^s =$ vector of selectivity by length in study area for NMFS net

Q_{BSFRF}^s = Q for study area (s) for the BSFRF net

Q_{NMFS}^n = Q for the entire Berring Sea NMFS net

N_l = population abundance by length

The revised formulation used in this assessment and recommended by the February 2011 Crab Modeling Workshop was,

$$\widetilde{C}_l^s = N_l \, Q_{BSFRF}^s A_l S_l Q_{NMFS}^n$$

$\widetilde{C}_l^s =$ numbers by length for NMFS in study area

A_l = 2 parameter logistic function of availability in the study area for the BSFRF net

S_l = 2 parameter logistic function for the entire Bering Sea for the NMFS net

Q_{BSFRF}^s = Q for study area (s) for the BSFRF net

Q_{NMFS}^n = Q for the entire Berring Sea NMFS net

N_l = population abundance by length

All Bering Sea male survey selectivity and the BSFRF availability were estimated using a 3 parameter logistic function (scenarios 1,2,3,7,8,9,10),

$$\text{Selectivity}_l = \frac{Q}{1+e^{\left\{\frac{-\ln(19)(l-l_{50\%})}{(l_{95\%}-l_{50\%})}\right\}}}$$

The BSFRF availability was estimated as a smooth function (23 parameters, 1 parameter for each length bin(22) and Q for model scenarios 4,5, and 6.

$$A_l = \exp(p_l); \qquad p_l \le 0.$$

A second difference constraint was added to the likelihood with a weight of 5.0,

$$5.0 \sum_{l=1}^{L} (\textit{first differences}(\textit{first differences}(p_l)))^2 \ .$$

Model scenarios 0, 0.1 and 0.2 are the September 2010 model with the same data as scenarios 1-10, except scenario 0 does not have the 2010 study area data. Scenario 0.1 is scenario 0 with the 2010 study area data, and scenario 0.2 is scenario 0 with the change in formulation of NMFS selectivity in the study areas.

Projection Model Structure

Variability in recruitment, as well as implementation error, was simulated with temporal autocorrelation. Recruitment was generated from a Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment model,

$$R_t = \frac{0.8\, h\, R_0\, B_t}{0.2\ spr_{F=0}\ R_0(1-h)+(h-0.2)B_t}\ e^{\varepsilon_t - \sigma_R^2/2}$$

$spr_{F=0}$	mature male biomass per recruit fishing at F=0. $B_0 = spr_{F=0}\ R_0$,
B_t	mature male biomass at time t,
h	steepness of the stock-recruitment curve defined as the fraction of R_0 at 20% of B_0,
R_0	recruitment when fishing at F=0,
σ_R^2	variance for recruitment deviations, estimated at 0.74 from the assessment model.

The temporal autocorrelation error (ε_t) was estimated as,

$$\varepsilon_t = \rho_R \varepsilon_{t-1} + \sqrt{1+\rho_R^2}\ \ \eta_t \qquad where\ \eta_t \sim N(0;\sigma_R^2) \tag{2}$$

ρ_R temporal autocorrelation coefficient for recruitment, set at 0.6.

Recruitment variability and autocorrelation were estimated using recruitment estimates from the stock assessment model. Steepness (h) and R_0 were estimated by setting Bmsy and Fmsy equal to B35% and F35% using a Beverton and Holt spawner recruit curve.

Implementation error was modeled as a lognormal autocorrelated error on the mature male biomass used to determine the fishing mortality rate in the harvest control rule,

$$B_t^{'} = B_t\, e^{\phi_t - \sigma_I^2/2}\ ;\ \ \phi_t = \rho_I \phi_{t-1} + \sqrt{1+\rho_I^2}\ \ \varphi_t \qquad where\ \varphi_t \sim N(0;\sigma_I^2)$$

$B_t^{'}$ mature male biomass in year t with implementation error input to the harvest control rule,

B_t mature male biomass in year t,

ρ_I temporal autocorrelation for implementation error, set at 0.6 (estimated from the recruitment time series),

σ_I standard deviation of φ which determines the magnitude of the implementation error.

Implementation error was set at a fixed value (e.g., 0.2) plus the s.d. on log scale from the assessment model for mature male biomass. Implementation error in mature male biomass resulted in fishing mortality values applied to the population that were either higher or lower than the values without implementation error. The autocorrelation was assumed to be the same value as that estimated for recruitment. Implementation autocorrelation was used to more closely approximate the process of estimating a biomass time series from within a stock assessment model. The variability in biomass of the simulated population resulted from the variability in recruitment and variability in full selection F arising from implementation error on biomass. The population dynamics equations were identical to those presented for the assessment model in the model structure section of this assessment.

RESULTS

The authors preferred model is Model 7, where natural mortality for all crab is fixed at 0.23, which is consistent with data on longevity of snow crab. Mature male M in model 2 was estimated at 0.33, which corresponds to longevity less than about 15 years, which is not consistent with Canadian tagging data. Without a prior constraint on M, the best fit is obtained at a higher value than the estimation of 0.33 in model 2, indicating that there is not sufficient information in the data to estimate M reliably.

The total mature biomass increased from about 353,600 t in 1978 to the peak biomass of 762,000 t in 1990 for model scenario 7 (Table 4a). Biomass declined sharply after 1997 to about 256,100 t in 2003. Total mature biomass increased to 412,900 t in 2010 (Table 4a scenario 7, Table 4b

scenario 2 and Figure 4). The model results are informed by the population dynamics structure, including natural mortality, the growth and selectivity parameters and the fishery catches. The low observed survey abundance in the mid-1980's were followed by an abrupt increase in the survey abundance of crab in 1987, which followed through the population and resulted in the highest catches recorded in the early 1990's.

Average discard catch mortality for 1978 to 2008 was estimated to be about 16.7% of the retained catch (with 50% mortality applied), similar to the average observed discards from 1992 to 2008 (15.5%) (Tables 1a and 1b, and Figure 58). Parameter estimates are listed in Table 13. Estimates of observed discard mortality ranged from 6% of the retained catch to 32% of the retained catch (assuming 50% discard mortality). Discard mortality has declined over the last three years from 12.9% in 2008/09 to 9.4% in 2009/10 and 4.2% in 2010/11.

The model fit to the total directed male catch, groundfish bycatch, male discard catch and female discard catch are shown in Figures 58, 59, 60, and 61 respectively.

Mature male and female biomass show similar trends (Table 2, Tables 4a and 4b, Figures 62 and 64). Model estimates (scenario 7) of mature male biomass increased from 154,200 t in 2006 to 239,400 t in 2009, and then declined to 223,400 t in 2011. Observed survey mature male biomass increased from 141,300 t in 2009 to 157,300 t in 2010 and 167,400 t in 2011. Model estimates of mature female biomass has an increasing trend from 120,400 t in 2009 to 189,500 t in 2011. Mature female biomass observed from the survey increased about 93% from 145,100 t in 2010 to 280,000 t in 2011.

Fishery selectivities and retention curves were estimated using ascending logistic curves (Figures 56 and 66). Selectivities for trawl bycatch were estimated as ascending logistic curves (Figure 67). Plots of model fits to the survey size frequency data are presented in Figures 68 and 70 by sex for shell conditions combined with residual plots in Figures 69 and 71. A summary of the fit across all years for male and female length frequency data indicates a very good fit overall (Figure 72). The model is not fit to crab by shell condition due to the inaccuracy of shell condition as a measure of shell age. Tagging results presented earlier indicate that the number of animals that are more than one year from molting may be underestimated by using shell condition as a proxy for shell age. However, an accurate measure of shell age is needed to improve the estimation of the composition of the catch that is extracted from the stock.

Differences between the observed and predicted survey length frequencies could be a result of spatial differences in growth due to temperature, or size at maturity. These would need to be investigated using a spatial model. Changing growth or maturity over time simply to fit the length frequency data was not recommended by the 2008 CIE reviewers. There also could be changes in survey catchability by area or between years that could contribute to any lack of fit to the observed survey length frequency data.

The September 2010 assessment Q for the 1989 to present period was estimated at 0.75 for male crab (Turnock and Rugolo 2010). The maximum survey selectivity estimated using the 2009 study area by Somerton (2010) was 0.76 at 140 mm for male crab (Figure 90). The Q for male crab, 1989 to present, depends on the estimation of natural mortality (Table 8 and Figure 102).

Q estimates ranged from 0.55 (scenario 3, immature M estimated at 0.320 and mature male M = 0.315), to 0.753 (scenario 10, M fixed at 0.23, new growth curve). The results when using a smooth function for BSFRF availability were slightly higher Q values and lower natural mortality (scenarios 4, 5 and 6). The survey selectivity curves estimated for model 5 are shown in Figures 120 and 121.

Model scenario 0 (September 2010 model, no 2010 study area data, includes other data through 2011) estimated Q at 0.699 (lower than the September 2010 assessment) and mature male M = 0.309 (higher than the September 2010 assessment). The addition of the 2010 study area data to model scenario 0 (scenario 0.1) resulted in a decrease in Q (0.647) and an increase in mature male M (0.326). Scenario 0.2 (scenario 0 with change in formulation of NMFS study area selectivity) estimated Q lower than scenario 0 at 0.628 and mature male M higher (0.324).

The total likelihood declines from M=0.18 to about M=0.25, reaches the lowest likelihood at 0.326, then increases at higher M (Figure 117) (Model scenario 2, prior on M included in the likelihood). The likelihood is similar at M=0.25 and M=0.38. The total likelihood declines with decreasing Q to a low at Q=0.60 (using Model scenario 2). The likelihood is relatively flat from about Q=0.7 to 0.55, then increases at Q<0.55 (Figure 118).

The estimated number of males > 101mm generally follows the observed survey abundance estimates (Figure 73). The observed survey estimate of males greater than 101 mm has been increasing since 2008 and increased from 137.6 million crab in 2010 to 150.7 million crab in 2011(Table 2). The estimated 95% confidence interval for the observed survey large males in 2011 was +/-24% of the estimate. Model estimates of large males show a decreasing trend from 232.6 million in 2008 to 196.9 million in 2011.

Two main periods of above average recruitment were estimated by the model, in 1979-1981, 1983, 1987 and 2004 (fertilization year, Figure 74). Recruits are 25mm to about 40 mm and may be about 4 years from hatching, 5 years from fertilization (Figure 75, although age is approximated). Lower than average recruitments were estimated from 1988 to 1998, 2000 to 2003 and 2006. The 1998-1999 and 2004 year classes appear to be about average recruitment that has resulted in an increase in biomass in recent years. The 2004 year class is estimated to higher in this assessment than in September 2010, since the 2011 survey data continue to indicate an above average recruitment has entered the stock. The recruitment from the 2004 year class has entered the mature female biomass and resulted in a large increase in biomass in 2011 from 2010. The recruits to the model may enter the mature stock after about 2 year to 7 years depending on whether they are male or female. The spread of years is large as male crab mature over a wide range of sizes.

The size at 50% selected for the pot fishery for total catch (retained plus discarded) was 103.3 mm for males (shell condition combined, Figure 56). The size at 50% selected for the retained catch was 105.6 mm. The fishery generally targets new shell animals > 101mm with clean hard shells and all legs intact. The fits to the fishery size frequencies are in Figures 76 through 81. Fits to the trawl fishery bycatch size frequency data are in Figures 82 through 84.

Fishing mortality rates ranged from 0.14 to 2.69 (Figure 85 and Table 4a). Fishing mortality rates ranged from 0.60 to 2.69, for the 1986/87 to 1998/99 fishery seasons. For the period after the snow crab stock was declared overfished (1999/2000 to 20010/11), full selection fishing mortality ranged from 0.22 to 0.59, with F estimated at 0.29 in 2010/11.

Model estimates (Model 7) of mature male biomass at mating decreased from 184,900 t in 2009/10 to 179,000 t in 2010/11 (110% of B35% (162,190 t), Figure 87). MMB at mating for scenario 7 was above B35% in 2008/09, 2009/10 and 2010/11. MMB at mating for all model scenarios (1-10) was estimated to be above B35% in the last three years (Figure 103).

Likelihood values for the alternative model scenarios are shown in Tables 16 and 17.

Survey selectivity curves estimated for model scenario 7 are shown in Figures 90 to 97.

Model scenario 7 fits to the length frequency in the 2009 and 2010 study areas are shown in Figure 98.
Model scenario 7 fits to the mature biomass in the 2009 and 2010 study areas are shown in Figures 99 and 100.
The history of fishing mortality and MMB at mating with the F35% control rule for model scenario 7 estimates the 2010/11 F to be below the overfishing level and MMB at mating above B35%(Figure 101).

Fits to data for model scenario 2 are shown in Figures 106 to 116.

Harvest Strategy and Projected Catch

Current Rebuilding Harvest Strategy

The harvest strategy described here is the current rebuilding strategy adopted in December 2000 in Amendment 14 and first applied in the 2000/01 fishing season (NPFMC 2000). Harvest strategy simulations are reported by Zheng et al. (2002) based on a model with structure and parameter values different than the model presented here. The harvest strategy by Zheng et al. (2002) was developed for use with survey biomass estimates. Prior to the passage of Amendment 24, Bmsy was defined as the average total mature survey biomass for 1983 to 1997. MSST was defined as ½ Bmsy. The harvest strategy consists of a threshold for opening the fishery (104,508 t (230.4 million lbs) of total mature biomass (TMB), 0.25*Bmsy), a minimum GHL of 6,804 t (15 million lbs) for opening the fishery, and rules for computing the GHL.

This exploitation rate is based on total survey mature biomass (TMB) which decreases below maximum E when TMB < average 1983-97 TMB calculated from the survey.

$$E = \begin{cases} Bycatch\ only, Directed\ E = 0, & if\ \dfrac{TMB}{averageTMB} < 0.25 \\ \dfrac{0.225 * \left[\dfrac{TMB}{averageTMB} - \alpha \right]}{(1-\alpha)} & if\ 0.25 < \dfrac{TMB}{averageTMB} < 1 \\ 0.225 & if\ \ TMB \ \geq \ averageTMB \end{cases} \qquad (13)$$

Where, α = -0.35 and averageTMB = 418,030 t (921.6 million lbs).

The maximum target for the retained catch is determined by using E as a multiplier on survey mature male biomass (MMB),

Retained Catch = E * MMB.

There is a 58% maximum harvest rate on exploited legal male abundance. Exploited legal male abundance is defined as the estimated abundance of all new shell males >=102 mm CW plus a percentage of the estimated abundance of old shell males >= 102 mm CW. The percentage to be used is determined using fishery selectivities for old shell males.

Overfishing Control Rule

Amendment 24 to the FMP introduced revised the definitions for overfishing. The information provided in this assessment is sufficient to estimate overfishing based on Tier 3b. The overfishing control rule for tier 3b is based on spawning biomass per recruit reference points (NPFMC 2007) (Figure 101).

$$F = \begin{cases} \text{Bycatch only, Directed} \quad F = 0, \ \text{if} \ \dfrac{B_t}{B_{REF}} \le \beta \\ \dfrac{F_{REF}\left[\dfrac{B_t}{B_{REF}} - \alpha\right]}{(1-\alpha)} \quad \text{if} \ \beta < \dfrac{B_t}{B_{REF}} < 1 \\ F_{REF} \quad \text{if} \ B_t \ge B_{REF} \end{cases} \qquad (12)$$

B_t mature male biomass at time of mating in year t,

B_{REF} mature male biomass at time of mating resulting from fishing at F_{REF},

F_{REF} F_{MSY} or the fishing mortality that reduces mature male biomass at the time of mating-per-recruit to x% of its unfished level,

α fraction of B_{REF} where the harvest control rule intersects the x-axis if extended below β,

β fraction of B_{REF} below which directed fishing mortality is 0.

B35% was estimated using average recruitment from1978 to 2009 and mature male biomass per recruit fishing at F35%.

Biomass and catch projections based on $F_{REF} = F_{35\%}$ and Bref = $B_{35\%}$ were used to estimate the catch OFL, 75%F35% and the ACL for model scenarios 1-10 in 2011/12 (Tables 9, 10 and 11. The OFL was estimated as the median of the distribution of OFLs from the stochastic projection model described earlier. OFL in 2011/12 ranged from 47,200 t to 79,400 t depending on model scenario. Model scenario 7 OFL was 52,800 t (retained 48,000 t). Average total catch from 1978/79 to 2010/11 was 52,030 t (43,900 t retained catch average), which includes periods of high and low stock abundance. The average catch from 1978/79 to 1998/99 was 70,348 t, and was 19,975 t during the rebuilding period 1999/2000 to 2010/11. Higher catches from 1978/79 to 1998/99 may have contributed to the decline of the stock and resulting low levels of abundance over the last 10 years, and therefore would not be considered sustainable.

MMB at mating in 2011/12 fishing at the OFL ranged from 88% to 92% of B35%. The ACL was estimated based on a probability of overfishing of 49% from the projection model with a cv

on 2010/11 biomass estimated from the Hessian matrix by the ADMB software and the median of the projected distribution of catch fishing at F35% as the estimate of OFL (Table 12). Fishing at 75%F35% the total catch ranged from 39,200 t to 69,200 t (Table 11).

F35% in the September 2010 assessment was estimated at 1.24 and B35% at 133,246 t. F35% ranged from 0.71 to 1.65 for model scenarios 1-10. F35% was 0.89 for model scenario 7. B35% ranged from 135,630 t to 173,750 t for model scenarios 1-10. B35% for model scenario 7 was 162,190 t.

The total catch, including all bycatch of both sexes, using the control rule is estimated by the following equation,

$$catch = \sum_{s} \sum_{l} (1 - e^{-(F*Sel_{s,l} + F_{trawl}*Sel_{Trawl,l})}) w_{s,l} N_{s,l}\, e^{-M_s*.62}$$

Where $N_{S,l}$ is the 2011 numbers at length(l) and sex at the time of the survey estimated from the population dynamics model, M_s is natural mortality by sex, 0.62 is the time elapsed (in years) from when the survey occurs to the fishery, F is the value estimated from the harvest control rule using the 2011 mature male biomass projected forward to the time of mating time (Feb. 2012), and $w_{s,l}$ is weight at length by sex. $Sel_{s,l}$ are the fishery selectivities by length and sex for the total catch (retained plus discard) estimated from the population dynamics model (Figure 56).

Projections were run for model scenario 7 with multipliers on the F35% control rule of 1.0 and 0.75. The rebuilding strategy implemented in 2000 (ADFG strategy) was also run for comparison. Steepness of the Beverton and Holt spawner recruit curve used in projections was estimated at 0.744 and R_0 at 1.660 billion crab, by equating F35% with Fmsy and B35% with Bmsy

The rebuilding strategy implemented in 2000/01 was developed for use with observed survey data and includes reference points based on observed survey data, not based on the current assessment model.

Median biomass values are projected to decline in 2011/12 fishing at the OFL, 75%F35% or at the ADFG strategy, then projected to increase (Tables 12a, 12b and 12c). MMB at mating relative to B35% is estimated in 2011/12 is estimated at 88.9%, 93.9% and 101.9% fishing at the OFL, 75% F35% and the ADFG harvest strategy respectively.

Figure 112. History of exploitation rate on mature male biomass relative to the exploitation rate corresponding to fishing at F35%.
Figure 113. Log of recruits/MMB at mating with a 5 yr lag for recruitment and mature male biomass at mating.

Conservation concerns

- Discard mortality has been assumed to be 50%, however there is a high level of uncertainty in this parameter. While sensitivity studies have shown only small differences in long term catch and biomass with different assumptions on discard mortality, higher discard mortality would necessitate lower retained catches in the short term.

- Exploitation rates in the southern portion of the range of snow crab may have been higher than target rates, possibly contributing to the shift in distribution to less productive waters in the north.

Data Gaps and Research Needs

Research is needed to improve our knowledge of snow crab life history and population dynamics to reduce uncertainty in the estimation of current stock size, stock status and optimum harvest rates.

Tagging programs need to be initiated to estimate longevity and migrations. Studies and analyses are needed to estimate natural mortality.

A method of verifying shell age is needed for all crab species. A study was conducted using lipofuscin to age crabs, however verification of the method is needed. Radiometric aging of shells of mature crabs is costly and time consuming. Aging methods will provide information to assess the accuracy of assumed ages from assigned shell conditions (i.e. new, old, very old, etc), which have not been verified, except with the 21 radiometric ages reported here from Orensanz (unpub data).

Techniques for determining which males are effective at mating and how many females they can successfully mate with in a mating season are needed to estimate population dynamics and optimum harvest rates. At the present time it is assumed that when males reach morphometric maturity they stop growing and they are effective at mating. Field studies are needed to determine how morphometric maturity corresponds to male effectiveness in mating. In addition the uncertainty associated with the determination of morphometric maturity (the measurement of chelae height and the discriminate analysis to separate crabs into mature and immature) needs to be analyzed and incorporated into the determination of the maturity by length for male snow crab.

Female opilio in waters less than 1.5 ^{o} C and colder have been determined to be biennial spawners in the Bering Sea. Future recruitment may be affected by the fraction of biennial spawning females in the population as well as the estimated fecundity of females, which may depend on water temperature.

A female reproductive index needs to be developed that incorporates males, mating ratios, fecundity, sperm reserves, biennial spawning and spatial aspects.

Analysis needs to be conducted to determine a method of accounting for the spatial distribution of the catch and abundance in computing quotas.

A full management strategy evaluation of the snow crab model has been funded by NPRB for the period 2008-2011.

Literature Cited

Chilton, E.A., C.E. Armisted and R.J. Foy. 2009. Report to industry on the 2009 Eastern Bering Sea crab survey. AFSC Processed Report 2009-XX.

Dawe, E.G., D.M. Taylor, J.M. Hoenig, W.G. Warren, and G.P. Ennis. 1991. A critical look at the idea of terminal molt in male snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 48: 2266-2275.

Ernst, B, J.M.(Lobo) Orensanz and D.A. Armstrong. 2005. Spatial dynamics of female snow crab (*Chionoecietes opilio*) in the eastern Bering Sea. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 62: 250–268.

Fonseca, D. B., B. Sainte-Marie, and F. Hazel. 2008. Longevity and change in shell condition of adult male snow crab *Chionoecetes opilio* inferred from dactyl wear and mark-recapture data. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 137:1029–1043.

Fournier, D.A. and C.P. Archibald. 1982. A general theory for analyzing catch-at-age data. Can.J.Fish.Aquat.Sci. 39:1195-1207.

Fu, C. H., and T. J. Quinn II, 2000. Estimability of natural mortality and other population parameters in a length-based model: *Pandalus borealis* in Kachemak Bay, Alaska. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 57: 2420–2432.

Greiwank, A. and G.F. Corliss(eds). 1991. Automatic differentiation of algorithms: theory, implementation and application. Proceedings of the SIAM Workshop on the Automatic Differentiation of Algorithms, held Jan. 6-8, Breckenridge, CO. Soc. Indust. And Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia.

Hoenig, J. 1983. Empirical use of longevity data to estimate mortality rates. Fish. Bull. 82: 898-903.

Mcbride (1982). Tanner crab tag development and tagging experiments 1978-1982. In Proceedings of the International Symposium of the Genus Chionoecetes. Lowell Wakefield Fish. Symp. Ser., Alaska Sea Grant Rep. 82-10. University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska. Pp. 383-403.

McMullen, J.C. and H.T. Yoshihara. 1969. Fate of unfertilized eggs in king crabs *Paralithodes camtschatica (Tilesius)*. Department of Fish and Game. Informational Leaflet 127. INPFC document no. 1151. 14pp.

Methot, R. D. 1990. Synthesis model: An adaptable framework for analysis of diverse stock assessment data. Int. N. Pac. Fish. Comm. Bull. 50:259-277.

Nevissi, A.E., J.M. Orensanz, A.J.Paul, and D.A. Armstrong. 1995. Radiometric Estimation of shell age in Tanner Crab, Chionoecetes opilio and C. bairdi, from the eastern Bering Sea, and its use to interpret indices of shell age/condition. Presented at the International symposium on biology, management and economics of crabs from high latitude habitats October 11-13, 1995, Anchorage, Alaska.

NPFMC (North Pacific Fishery Management Council). 2007. Environmental Assesment for Amendment 24. Overfishing definitions for Bering Sea and Aluetian Islands King and Tanner crab stocks. North Pacific Fishery Management Council,Anchorage, AK, USA..

NPFMC (North Pacific Fishery Management Council). 2000. Bering Sea snow crab rebuilding plan. Amendment 14. Bering Sea Crab Plan Team, North Pacific Fishery Management Council,Anchorage, AK, USA..

NPFMC 1998. Bering Sea and Aluetian Islands Crab FMP. Bering Sea Crab Plan Team, North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P. O. Box 103136, Anchorage, Ak 99510.

Orensanz, J.M., J. Armstrong, D. Armstrong and R. Hilborn. 1998. Crustacean resources are vulnerable to serial depletion – the multifaceted decline of crab and shrimp fisheries in the Greater Gulf of Alaska. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 8:117-176.

Otto, R.S. 1998. Assessment of the eastern Bering Sea snow crab, Chionoecetes opilio, stock under the terminal molting hypothesis. In Proceedings of the North Pacific Symposium on Invertebrate Stock Assessment and Management. Edited by G.S. Jamieson and A. Campbell. Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 125. pp. 109-124.

Paul, A.J. and J.M. Paul. 1995. Molting of functionally mature male *Chionoecetes bairdi* Rathbun (Decapoda: Majidae) and changes in carapace and chela measurements. Journal of Crustacean Biology 15:686-692.

Paul, A.J., J.M. Paul and W.E. Donaldson. 1995. Shell condition and breeding success in Tanner crabs. Journal of Crustacean Biology 15: 476-480.

Press, W.H., S.A. Teukolsky, W.T.Vetterling, B.P. Flannery. 1992. Numerical Recipes in C. Second Ed. Cambridge Univ. Press. 994 p.

Rugolo, L.J., D. Pengilly, R. MacIntosh and K. Gravel. 2005. Reproductive dynamics and life-history of snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) in the eastern Bering Sea. Final Completion Report to the NOAA, Award NA17FW1274, Bering Sea Snow Crab Fishery Restoration Research.

Rugolo, L.J., R.A. MacIntosh, C.E. Armisted, J.A. Haaga and R.S. Otto. 2003. Report to industry on the 2003 Eastern Bering Sea crab survey. AFSC Processed Report 2003-11.

Sainte-Marie, B., Raymond, S., and Brethes, J. 1995. Growth and maturation of the male snow crab, *Chionoecetes opilio* (Brachyura: Majidae). Can.J.Fish.Aquat.Sci. 52:903-924.

Sainte-Marie, B., J. Sevigny and M. Carpentier. 2002. Interannual variability of sperm reserves and fecundity of primiparous females of the snow crab (Chionoecetes opilio) in relation to sex ratio. Can.J.Fish.Aquat.Sci. 59:1932-1940.

Schnute, J. and L. Richards. 1995. The influence of error on population estimates from catch-age models. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 52: 2063-2077.

Shirley, T.C. 1998. Appendix D: Crab handling mortality and bycatch reduction. In: King and Tanner crab research in Alaska: Annual report for July 1, 1997 through June 30, 1998. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Regional Information Report No. 5J98-07.

Somerton, D.A. and R.S. Otto. 1999. Net effeciency of a survey trawl for snow crab, *Chionoecetes opilio*, and Tanner crab, *C. Bairdi*. Fish.Bull. 97:617-625.

Tamone, S.L., M. Adams and J.M. Dutton. 2005. Effect of eyestalk ablation on circulating ecdysteroids in hemolymph of snow crab *Chionoecetes opilio*: physiological evidence for a terminal molt. Integr. Comp. Biol., 45(120), p.166-171.

Turnock, B.J. and L.J. Rugolo. 2007. Eastern Bering Sea snow crab stock assessment. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P. O. Box 103136, Anchorage, Ak 99510.

Turnock, B.J. and L.J. Rugolo. 2008. Eastern Bering Sea snow crab stock assessment. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, P. O. Box 103136, Anchorage, Ak 99510.

Zheng, J., S. Siddeek, D. Pengilly, and D. Woodby. 2002. Overview of recommended harvest strategy for snow crabs in the Eastern Bering Sea. Regional Information Report No. 5J02-03. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Juneau, Alaska.

Zheng, J., G.H. Kruse, and D.R. Ackley. 2001. Spatial distribution and recruitment patterns of snow crabs in the eastern Bering Sea. Spatial Processes and management of marine populations. Alaska sea grant college program. AK-SG-01-02, 2001.

Zhou, S. and G.H. Kruse. 1998. Appendix C: Crab handling mortality and bycatch reduction. In: King and Tanner Crab research in Alaska: Annual Report for July 1, 1997 through June 30, 1998. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Regional Information Report No. 5J98-07.

Table 1a. Catch (1,000 t) for the snow crab pot fishery and groundfish trawl bycatch. Retained catch for 1973 to 1981 contain Japanese directed fishing. Observed discarded catch is the total estimate of discards before applying mortality. Discards from 1992 to 2010/11 were estimated from observer data.

Year fishery occurred	Retained catch (1000 t)	Observed Discard male catch (no mort. applied) (1000 t)	Observed Retained + discard male catch(no mort. Applied) (1000 t)	Year of trawl bycatch	Observed trawl bycatch(no mort. Applied) (1000 t)	GHL(retained catch only) (1000 t)	OFL (2008/9 first year of total catch OFL) (1000 t)
1973/74	3.04			1973	13.63		
1974/75	2.28			1974	18.87		
1975/76	3.74			1975	7.30		
1976/77	4.56			1976	3.16		
1977/78	7.39			1977	2.14		
1978/79	23.72			1978	2.46		
1979/80	34.04			1979	1.98		
1980/81	30.37			1980	1.44	17.9-41.3	
1981/82	13.32			1981	0.60	7.3-10.0	
1982/83	11.85			1982	0.24	7.17	
1983/84	12.17			1983	0.31	22.23	
1984/85	29.95			1984	0.33	44.46	
1985/86	44.46			1985	0.29	25.86	
1986/87	46.24			1986	1.23	25.59	
1987/88	61.41			1987	0.00	50.23	
1988/89	67.81			1988	0.44	59.89	
1989/90	73.42			1989	0.51	63.43	
1990/91	149.11			1990	0.39	142.92	
1991/92	143.06	43.65	186.71	1991	1.95	151.09	
1992/93	104.71	56.65	161.37	1992	1.84	94.01	
1993/94	67.96	17.66	85.62	1993	1.81	48.00	
1994/95	34.14	13.36	47.50	1994	3.55	25.27	
1995/96	29.82	19.10	48.92	1995	1.35	23.00	
1996/97	54.24	24.68	78.92	1996	0.93	53.09	
1997/98	110.41	19.05	129.46	1997	1.50	102.50	
1998/99	88.02	15.50	103.52	1998	1.02	84.48	
1999/00	15.20	1.72	16.92	1999	0.61	12.93	
2000/01	11.46	2.06	13.52	2000	0.53	12.39	
2001/02	14.85	6.27	21.12	2001	0.39	13.97	
2002/03	12.84	4.51	17.35	2002	0.23	11.62	
2003/04	10.86	1.90	12.77	2003	0.76	9.44	
2004/05	11.29	1.69	12.98	2004	0.96	9.48	
2005/06	16.78	4.52	21.30	2005	0.37	16.74	
2006/07	16.50	5.90	22.39	2006	0.84	16.42	
2007/08	28.60	8.42	37.02	2007	0.44	28.58	
2008/09	26.56	6.86	33.42	2008	0.30	26.59	35.07
2009/10	21.82	4.09	25.91	2009	0.68	21.80	33.10
2010/11	24.67	2.05	26.72	2010	0.19	24.62	44.40

Table 1b. Model estimates of catch (1,000 t) for Bering Sea snow crab. Model estimates of pot fishery discards include a 50% mortality and groundfish discard 80% mortality.

Year	Model estimate of male retained (1000 t)	Model estimate of male discard(50% mort) (1000 t)	Model estimate Discard female catch (1000 t)	Model estimate groundfish bycatch(0.8 mort., 1000 t)	Model estimate total directed male catch (1000 t)	Model estimate total catch (1000 t)
1978/79	23.80	1.10	0.10	3.80	24.80	28.70
1979/80	34.10	1.40	0.10	3.10	35.50	38.60
1980/81	30.50	3.30	0.10	2.20	33.80	36.10
1981/82	13.40	3.90	0.10	0.70	17.20	18.00
1982/83	11.90	2.60	0.10	0.20	14.50	14.80
1983/84	12.20	1.30	0.10	0.40	13.50	13.90
1984/85	30.00	2.40	0.00	0.40	32.40	32.80
1985/86	44.50	3.20	0.00	0.40	47.70	48.10
1986/87	46.30	4.20	0.10	1.80	50.50	52.40
1987/88	61.50	10.30	0.10	0.20	71.90	72.10
1988/89	67.90	15.50	0.10	0.50	83.40	84.10
1989/90	73.60	16.10	0.10	0.70	89.60	90.50
1990/91	149.40	29.20	0.10	0.60	178.60	179.30
1991/92	143.30	32.10	0.20	1.90	175.50	177.50
1992/93	105.00	28.00	0.30	1.70	133.00	135.00
1993/94	67.90	9.90	0.20	1.70	77.80	79.70
1994/95	34.30	6.30	0.20	3.50	40.60	44.20
1995/96	29.80	9.80	0.10	1.20	39.70	41.00
1996/97	54.70	10.80	0.20	0.80	65.40	66.40
1997/98	114.40	11.20	0.00	1.40	125.60	127.10
1998/99	88.30	7.80	0.00	0.90	96.10	97.00
1999/00	15.10	1.20	0.00	0.40	16.40	16.80
2000/01	11.50	0.90	0.00	0.30	12.50	12.80
2001/02	15.00	1.80	0.00	0.20	16.90	17.10
2002/03	13.00	1.90	0.00	0.10	14.90	15.10
2003/04	10.90	1.10	0.00	0.50	12.00	12.50
2004/05	11.30	0.90	0.00	0.70	12.20	12.90
2005/06	16.90	1.60	0.00	0.20	18.50	18.70
2006/07	16.60	2.40	0.00	0.60	19.00	19.60
2007/08	28.60	4.40	0.00	0.30	33.00	33.40
2008/09	26.60	3.10	0.00	0.20	29.80	30.00
2009/10	21.80	1.80	0.00	0.50	23.60	24.20
2010/11	24.60	1.80	0.00	0.20	26.40	26.60

Table 2. Observed survey female, male and total spawning biomass(1000t) and numbers of males > 101mm (millions of crab).

Year	Observed survey female mature biomass	CV female mature biomass	Observed survey male mature biomass	CV male mature biomass	Observed survey total mature biomass	Observed number of males > 101mm (millions)
1978/79	153	0.2	193.1	0.12	346.2	163.4
1979/80	323.7	0.2	240.3	0.12	564.1	169.1
1980/81	364.9	0.2	193.8	0.12	558.7	133.9
1981/82	195.9	0.2	107.7	0.12	303.6	40.7
1982/83	213.3	0.2	173.1	0.12	386.4	60.9
1983/84	125.4	0.2	146	0.12	271.5	65.2
1984/85	70.4	0.4	161.2	0.24	231.5	139.9
1985/86	12.5	0.4	69.6	0.24	82.1	71.5
1986/87	47.7	0.4	87.3	0.24	135.1	77.1
1987/88	294.7	0.2	192.1	0.12	486.8	130.5
1988/89	276.9	0.125	251.6	0.12	528.5	170.2
1989/90	427.3	0.32	299.1	0.095	726.4	162.4
1990/91	312.1	0.185	442.4	0.105	754.5	389.6
1991/92	379.2	0.19	430.5	0.145	809.6	418.8
1992/93	242.4	0.2	238.5	0.12	480.9	232.5
1993/94	237.3	0.2	178.3	0.12	415.6	124.4
1994/95	216.8	0.16	163.6	0.15	380.4	71.2
1995/96	257	0.115	209.5	0.105	466.5	63
1996/97	161.7	0.145	281.7	0.09	443.4	154.8
1997/98	157.5	0.195	319.9	0.09	477.4	280.2
1998/99	124.3	0.255	201.1	0.12	325.4	208.4
1999/00	51.4	0.195	89.5	0.10	140.9	82.1
2000/01	152.4	0.435	88.9	0.14	241.3	65.7
2001/02	131.4	0.28	129.2	0.185	260.6	67.6
2002/03	50.5	0.295	90.2	0.195	140.8	63.1
2003/04	74.2	0.285	73	0.20	147.3	52.3
2004/05	84.5	0.28	75.8	0.16	160.3	56
2005/06	158.2	0.17	119.5	0.16	277.7	61.5
2006/07	109.6	0.17	134.5	0.18	244.2	118.7
2007/08	121.4	0.26	147.3	0.15	268.7	124.1
2008/09	86.4	0.22	121.6	0.10	208	97.7
2009/10	103.8	0.22	141.3	0.12	245	125.9
2010/11	145.1	0.156	157.3	0.142	302.4	137.6
2011/12	280.0	0.178	167.4	0.12	447.4	150.7

Table 3a. Abundance estimates of females and males by size groups for the BSFRF net in the 2009 and 2010 study areas, the NMFS net in the study area, and the NMFS survey of the entire Bering Sea. Mature abundance uses the maturity curve.

		Females			Males	
	>25mm	>50mm	mature	>25mm	mature	>100
2009 BSFRF Study	585.3	113.6	129.4	422.9	200.9	66.9
2009 NMFS Study	150.2	121.5	120.5	119.2	76.9	36.7
2009 NMFS Bering Sea	1773.5	828.7	1,143.9	1,225.0	463.8	147.2
2010 BSFRF Study	6372.1	2328.9	3459.4	3344.8	877.7	186.9
2010 NMFS Study	2509.2	919.0	1102.6	1318.9	402.8	68.8

Table 3b. Observed male and female mature biomass for the 2009 and 2010 study areas.

Mature Biomass (1000 t) 2009 and 2010 Study areas.

	BSFRF		NMFS	
	Female	Male	Female	Male
2009 Obs	12.2	68.4	11.9	32.3
2009 Pred	12.6	54.4	10.3	41.0
2010 Obs	279.0	193.3	91.5	77.7
2010 Pred	203.9	176.3	163.3	132.7

Table 4a. Model 7 estimates of population biomass (1000t), population numbers, male, female and total mature biomass(1000t) and number of males greater than 101 mm in millions. Recruits enter the population at the beginning of the survey year after molting occurs. * Numbers by length estimated in the first year, so recruitment estimates start in second year.

Year	Biomass (1000t 25mm+)	numbers (million crabs 25mm+)	Female mature biomass (1000t)	Male mature biomass (1000t)	Total mature biomass (1000t)	Number of males >101mm (millions)	Recruit-ment (millions, 25 mm to 50 mm)	Male mature biomass at mating time(Feb of survey year+1) (1000t)	Full selection fishing mortality	Exp.rate of total male catch on mature male biomass
1978/79	479.4	6,759.9	145.8	207.8	353.6	167.6		145.0	0.35	0.15
1979/80	498.5	6,898.2	171.5	167.2	338.7	122.9	788.0	98.3	0.84	0.27
1980/81	531.3	6,832.2	225.2	124.0	349.2	65.6	710.0	66.8	2.17	0.37
1981/82	558.6	6,253.9	241.2	113.2	354.4	40.2	453.3	78.6	1.27	0.21
1982/83	592.7	5,273.5	229.7	170.0	399.6	105.0	177.2	129.2	0.34	0.11
1983/84	633.3	5,594.1	204.4	264.1	468.5	240.1	717.9	207.0	0.14	0.06
1984/85	668.6	6,681.9	188.9	312.8	501.7	311.3	1,131.2	224.5	0.28	0.13
1985/86	701.5	8,107.1	197.0	302.8	499.8	300.2	1,427.3	210.2	0.43	0.19
1986/87	802.1	11,533.7	224.5	266.8	491.3	239.0	2,585.7	176.8	0.60	0.22
1987/88	877.3	9,870.5	285.9	256.2	542.1	195.9	398.2	156.6	1.15	0.32
1988/89	1,014.2	12,629.2	310.5	282.1	592.6	198.7	2,458.6	166.0	1.39	0.35
1989/90	1,067.2	10,010.6	339.6	340.1	679.7	247.9	71.6	215.6	1.08	0.31
1990/91	1,070.6	8,387.3	335.5	426.7	762.1	356.6	304.3	205.7	1.99	0.50
1991/92	909.6	6,978.2	296.2	381.9	678.1	298.8	330.2	169.9	2.69	0.55
1992/93	855.9	11,818.5	254.0	303.6	557.6	214.9	3,312.8	151.7	2.55	0.51
1993/94	817.1	10,361.9	294.2	259.2	553.4	186.6	621.2	152.9	1.48	0.35
1994/95	821.7	9,033.5	329.6	217.8	547.4	113.5	473.1	149.9	1.06	0.22
1995/96	840.5	7,293.4	314.9	238.6	553.5	112.3	101.7	176.8	0.87	0.19
1996/97	843.7	5,825.8	272.6	349.2	621.8	266.7	58.2	248.0	0.66	0.22
1997/98	763.9	4,690.2	225.1	434.2	659.3	419.2	93.4	258.5	0.94	0.33
1998/99	580.0	4,490.4	183.9	330.8	514.7	298.1	489.0	193.4	1.04	0.34
1999/00	440.4	4,253.0	161.0	216.6	377.6	166.8	423.1	171.4	0.25	0.09
2000/01	395.6	3,646.3	150.7	179.3	330.0	132.2	147.6	137.2	0.24	0.08
2001/02	363.7	3,153.3	137.3	153.5	290.8	105.1	139.2	116.9	0.41	0.13
2002/03	346.9	3,196.1	120.0	146.2	266.2	103.4	360.0	113.1	0.36	0.12
2003/04	358.0	4,003.1	109.3	155.8	265.1	128.6	745.2	123.5	0.23	0.09
2004/05	394.3	5,083.2	115.1	157.5	272.5	137.4	962.1	124.2	0.22	0.09
2005/06	426.2	4,812.9	135.8	150.8	286.6	123.5	397.9	113.0	0.38	0.14
2006/07	458.7	4,655.9	146.8	154.2	300.9	115.9	431.5	116.1	0.41	0.14
2007/08	477.3	3,874.7	145.3	186.0	331.3	150.0	105.1	132.0	0.59	0.20
2008/09	473.4	3,715.7	132.5	220.3	352.9	198.9	348.3	163.9	0.39	0.16
2009/10	527.0	6,829.9	120.4	239.4	359.9	232.6	1,964.6	184.9	0.26	0.11
2010/11	573.8	6,848.2	154.0	235.9	389.9	228.1	730.6	179.0	0.29	0.13
2011/12	613.7	6,378.7	189.5	223.4	412.9	196.9	490.2	NA	NA	NA

Table 4b. Model 2 estimates of population biomass (1000t), population numbers, male, female and total mature biomass(1000t) and number of males greater than 101 mm in millions. Recruits enter the population at the beginning of the survey year after molting occurs. * Numbers by length estimated in the first year, so recruitment estimates start in second year.

Year	Biomass (1000t 25mm+)	numbers (million crabs 25mm+)	Female mature biomass (1000t)	Male mature biomass (1000t)	Total mature biomass (1000t)	Number of males >101mm (millions)	Recruit-ment (millions, 25 mm to 50 mm)	Male mature biomass at mating time(Feb of survey year+1) (1000t)	Full selection fishing mortality	Exp.rate of total male catch on mature male biomass
1978/79	502.4	8,744.8	166.4	146.2	312.6	198.1		87.1	0.34	0.22
1979/80	572.4	9,077.3	205.7	139.0	344.7	159.7	1,097.2	68.2	0.69	0.34
1980/81	655.7	9,217.7	292.6	111.6	404.3	90.7	1,046.6	53.3	1.42	0.39
1981/82	722.5	8,534.9	324.0	127.1	451.2	64.9	656.7	83.5	0.77	0.18
1982/83	774.7	7,149.4	313.0	213.5	526.5	146.2	229.4	152.3	0.27	0.09
1983/84	817.9	7,532.7	279.9	324.6	604.5	298.5	975.2	237.4	0.13	0.05
1984/85	845.6	8,823.2	258.4	370.8	629.2	367.3	1,472.3	247.2	0.26	0.12
1985/86	875.8	10,586.0	266.9	350.1	617.0	343.7	1,858.4	230.4	0.42	0.17
1986/87	995.3	14,757.2	300.2	305.1	605.3	265.0	3,253.9	192.1	0.60	0.21
1987/88	1,080.5	12,435.7	374.7	297.6	672.3	212.3	442.1	176.7	1.16	0.30
1988/89	1,242.7	15,804.4	401.3	337.2	738.5	219.8	3,076.5	191.6	1.38	0.32
1989/90	1,294.4	12,481.1	433.5	408.4	842.0	277.7	103.4	251.2	1.05	0.27
1990/91	1,280.9	10,476.7	426.3	499.8	926.1	395.3	432.9	236.2	1.95	0.46
1991/92	1,085.3	8,734.3	377.6	441.5	819.1	328.6	448.8	192.3	2.66	0.51
1992/93	1,047.8	15,486.1	325.6	347.6	673.1	236.8	4,511.2	170.2	2.46	0.47
1993/94	1,025.8	13,580.8	383.4	296.8	680.2	209.8	822.7	170.3	1.40	0.32
1994/95	1,050.8	11,969.5	433.7	258.1	691.8	129.5	710.0	171.9	0.99	0.19
1995/96	1,077.4	9,625.5	418.2	297.1	715.4	133.0	153.2	213.6	0.79	0.16
1996/97	1,070.1	7,619.4	364.8	433.4	798.1	320.1	85.1	298.8	0.59	0.18
1997/98	952.7	6,083.1	302.5	519.9	822.5	495.4	138.8	305.9	0.84	0.30
1998/99	726.9	6,020.9	247.9	388.5	636.4	354.4	758.8	223.8	0.92	0.30
1999/00	561.8	5,769.4	219.7	251.0	470.7	204.3	616.3	188.7	0.22	0.08
2000/01	502.2	4,921.5	208.6	199.1	407.7	153.9	215.5	141.8	0.23	0.08
2001/02	461.5	4,233.6	191.7	167.7	359.4	116.6	200.9	120.9	0.40	0.12
2002/03	445.1	4,414.9	168.2	164.5	332.7	118.5	565.6	120.9	0.34	0.11
2003/04	462.2	5,474.1	154.7	179.4	334.2	152.9	1,020.3	135.1	0.21	0.08
2004/05	509.4	6,880.5	163.1	180.2	343.2	160.2	1,299.7	134.9	0.21	0.08
2005/06	550.6	6,504.1	190.0	172.9	363.0	138.9	553.2	123.6	0.37	0.13
2006/07	590.9	6,179.3	203.8	183.5	387.3	131.7	547.2	132.4	0.40	0.13
2007/08	607.7	5,097.6	200.1	226.1	426.2	174.7	140.7	155.4	0.55	0.18
2008/09	593.5	4,846.9	181.4	264.7	446.1	231.3	460.6	189.1	0.36	0.14
2009/10	648.1	8,699.7	163.9	277.5	441.4	263.4	2,483.7	204.0	0.25	0.10
2010/11	695.3	8,721.1	203.8	262.7	466.4	246.4	956.6	189.1	0.30	0.12
2011/12	738.8	8,136.0	247.1	243.8	490.9	200.9	658.1	NA	NA	NA

Table 5. Radiometric ages for male crabs for shell conditions 1 through 5. Data from Orensanz (unpub).

				Radiometric age	
Shell Condition	description	sample size	Mean	minimum	maximum
1	soft	6	0.15	0.05	0.25
2	new	6	0.69	0.33	1.07
3	old	3	1.02	0.92	1.1
4	very old	3	5.31	4.43	6.6
5	very very old	3	4.59	2.7	6.85

Table 6. Natural mortality estimates for Hoenig (1983), the 5% rule and the 1% rule, given the oldest observed age.

	Natural Mortality		
oldest observed age	Hoenig (1983) empirical	5% rule	1% Rule
10	0.42	0.3	0.46
15	0.28	0.2	0.30
17	0.25	0.18	0.27
20	0.21	0.15	0.23

Table 7. Model scenarios. Female mature M is fixed at 0.23 for all models.

Model Scenario		BSFRF Availability	Immature M (males and females)	Mature male M
1		Logistic	estimate	0.23
2		Logistic	0.23	Estimate w/prior
3		Logistic	estimate	Estimate w/prior
4		Smooth	estimate	0.23
5		Smooth	0.23	Estimate w/prior
6		Smooth	estimate	Estimate w/prior
7		Logistic	0.23	0.23
8	New male growth fixed	Logistic	estimate	0.23
9	New male growth fixed	Logistic	0.23	Estimate w/prior
10	New male growth fixed	Logistic	0.23	0.23
0	Sept 2010 model	Logistic	0.23	Estimate w/prior
0.1	Model 0 with 2010 study area data	Logistic	0.23	Estimate w/prior
0.2	Model 0 with change in formulation of NMFS study area selectivity. Without 2010 study area data	Logistic	0.23	Estimate w/prior

Table 8. Immature M, mature female M, mature male M and survey Q for males 1989-Present for model scenarios 1-10, 0, 0.1 and 0.2.

scenario	Immature M(male and female)	Mature Female M (fixed)	Mature Male M	Q, males 1989-Present
1	0.367	0.230	0.230	0.572
2	0.230	0.230	0.326	0.604
3	0.320	0.230	0.315	0.554
4	0.353	0.230	0.230	0.612
5	0.230	0.230	0.325	0.604
6	0.319	0.230	0.299	0.583
7	0.230	0.230	0.230	0.714
8	0.405	0.230	0.230	0.649
9	0.230	0.230	0.310	0.699
10	0.230	0.230	0.230	0.753
0	0.230	0.230	0.309	0.699
0.1	0.230	0.230	0.326	0.647
0.2	0.230	0.230	0.324	0.628

Table 9. 2011/12 projected catch (1000t) fishing at 75%F35% for model scenarios 1-10.

scenario	Total	retained	MMB/B35%	Prob above B35%	F35%	B35%
1	59.2(46.8,68.4)	53.6(42.5,62)	97.4(87.2,109.5)	0.347	1.20	173.75
2	63.9(51.1,73.1)	57.3(45.9,65.6)	98.5(88.6,110.9)	0.406	1.49	141.73
3	69.2(55.5,79.6)	62(49.9,71.3)	97.9(88,110)	0.374	1.65	148.57
4	53.6(42.3,62.8)	48.9(38.6,57.2)	96.1(85.9,107.5)	0.259	1.04	167.50
5	64.6(51.7,74.1)	58.2(46.6,66.7)	98.3(88.5,110.6)	0.398	1.46	141.08
6	63.7(50.8,73.8)	57.5(46,66.6)	97(87.2,108.6)	0.312	1.42	147.48
7	44.2(34.7,52.9)	40.3(31.6,48.2)	93.9(83.8,104.5)	0.162	0.89	162.19
8	46.8(36.8,55.4)	42.2(33.1,49.9)	95(84.9,106.1)	0.205	0.89	163.04
9	48.8(38.6,56.8)	43.5(34.5,50.6)	96.8(86.8,108.5)	0.308	0.96	135.63
10	39.2(30.6,47.5)	35.3(27.6,42.8)	92.7(82.7,103)	0.107	0.71	158.43

Table 10. 2011/12 projected catch (1000t) fishing at 100%F35%(OFL) for model scenarios 1-10.

scenario	total	retained	MMB/B35%	Prob above B35%	F35%	B35%
1	69.4(55.4,83.8)	62.7(50.1,75.5)	91.9(82.6,101.2)	0.071	1.20	173.75
2	73.6(59.3,88.1)	65.7(53.1,78.4)	92.2(83.2,101.2)	0.072	1.49	141.73
3	79.4(64.1,95.2)	70.7(57.3,84.5)	91.6(82.7,100.4)	0.061	1.65	148.57
4	63.3(50.3,77.1)	57.5(45.8,69.9)	90.6(81.4,99.4)	0.038	1.04	167.50
5	74.5(60,89.4)	66.7(53.9,79.9)	91.9(83,100.7)	0.063	1.46	141.08
6	73.5(59.2,88.7)	66.1(53.4,79.5)	90.7(81.9,99.3)	0.032	1.42	147.48
7	52.8(41.7,64.7)	48(37.9,58.7)	88.9(79.7,97.8)	0.021	0.89	162.19
8	55.7(44.1,68.1)	50(39.6,61)	89.9(80.6,98.8)	0.027	0.89	163.04
9	57.4(45.7,69.8)	51(40.7,61.9)	90.9(81.9,99.6)	0.039	0.96	135.63
10	47.2(37.1,58)	42.4(33.4,52)	88(78.8,96.8)	0.015	0.71	158.43

Table 11. 2011/12 projected catch (1000t) fishing at ACL (Probability of overfishing = 49%) for model scenarios 1-10.

scenario	Total Catch	Retained Catch
1	69.29	62.60
2	73.46	65.57
3	79.23	70.54
4	63.13	57.34
5	74.30	66.52
6	73.38	66.00
7	52.68	47.89
8	55.55	49.86
9	57.23	50.85
10	47.06	42.27

Tables 12a-c. Projections using a multiplier on the F35% control rule for 2011/12 to 2019/20 fishery seasons. Median total catch (ABC_{tot} 1000 t), median retained catch (C_{dir} 1000 t), Percent mature male biomass at time of mating relative to B35%, probability of rebuilding in 1 year. Values in parentheses are 90% CI. F is full selection fishing mortality and exploitation rate is total male catch relative to mature male biomass at the time of the fishery. Base model $B_{35\%}$ = 168,000 t. $F_{35\%}$ = 1.01. All projections have rebuilding strategy (multiplier) in effect until rebuilt, then strategy switches to a 0.98 multiplier.

a) Model 7, 100% $F_{35\%}$ B35% = 162,190 t F35%=0.89

Year	**ABC_{tot} (1000t)**	**C_{dir} (1000t)**	**Percent MMB/ $B_{35\%}$**	**Prob MMB> B35%**	**Full Selection Fishing Mortality**
2011/12	52.8(41.7,64.7)	48(37.9,58.7)	88.9(79.7,97.8)	0.021	0.78
2012/13	39.9(25.2,57.3)	33.1(21.1,46.7)	88.2(76.6,99.8)	0.061	0.74
2013/14	65.4(41.4,83)	54.5(35.1,68.1)	107.7(90.9,125.9)	0.764	0.87
2014/15	82.9(57.7,104.9)	73.6(51.7,92.4)	122.9(100.5,149.9)	0.958	0.87
2015/16	73.1(48.1,96.2)	63.9(43.2,82.2)	117.8(89.5,159.6)	0.965	0.85
2016/17	65.4(37,119.2)	56.1(32.5,96.9)	115.7(79.6,194)	0.966	0.84
2017/18	68.9(28.6,167.7)	59(25.3,142.5)	119.5(73.6,248.7)	0.977	0.82
2018/19	72.1(24.2,187)	61.9(20.8,164.6)	122.4(67.7,279.6)	0.981	0.82
2019/20	72(20.2,195.5)	61.7(17.2,170.7)	124(63.5,286.1)	0.986	0.81
2020/21	71.1(18.4,192.2)	61.9(16.2,171.5)	126.7(59.6,289.6)	0.986	0.8

b) Model 7, 75% $F_{35\%}$ B35% = 162,190 t F35%=0.89

Year	**ABC_{tot} (1000t)**	**C_{dir} (1000t)**	**Percent MMB/ $B_{35\%}$**	**Prob MMB> B35%**	**Full Selection Fishing Mortality**
2011/12	44.2(34.7,52.9)	40.3(31.6,48.2)	93.9(83.8,104.5)	0.162	0.62
2012/13	36(22.3,49.7)	30.2(18.8,41.4)	94.8(82.6,107.5)	0.261	0.6
2013/14	55.4(36.4,71.5)	46.9(31.3,59.8)	117.7(101.1,136.2)	0.956	0.66
2014/15	71.8(52.5,92.9)	64.4(47.2,82.8)	138.1(115.6,165.6)	0.999	0.65
2015/16	66.4(46.7,87.1)	58.9(42.3,76.6)	134.3(104.6,176.8)	0.999	0.64
2016/17	60.2(37.4,106.1)	52.9(33.3,88.6)	130.7(92.7,217.8)	0.999	0.64
2017/18	63(29.7,147.4)	54.9(26.7,126.9)	135.9(84,278)	0.999	0.63
2018/19	65.6(23.8,165.3)	57.4(21.3,148)	139.6(76.5,316.9)	0.999	0.64
2019/20	66.4(19.3,174.6)	58.3(17.1,153.8)	141.5(70.4,329)	0.999	0.63
2020/21	65.1(17.8,180.1)	57.3(16,156)	145.6(67.4,331.3)	0.999	0.62

c) Model 7, ADFG harvest strategy, B35% = 162,190 t F35%=0.89

Year	**ABC_{tot} (1000t)**	**C_{dir} (1000t)**	**Percent MMB/ $B_{35\%}$**	**Prob MMB> B35%**	**Full Selection Fishing Mortality**
2011/12	30.5(24.2,37.6)	27.9(22.1,34.4)	101.9(89.9,113.6)	0.605	0.4
2012/13	32.3(19,45.2)	27.7(15.7,41)	103.5(89.5,117.2)	0.727	0.46
2013/14	45.9(25.4,80.8)	39.9(21.2,73.9)	129.3(108.8,149.5)	0.994	0.48
2014/15	57.2(31.1,105.5)	51.3(27.2,103.5)	153.9(128.4,180.7)	1	0.45
2015/16	58.1(30.1,92.7)	52(26.6,89.5)	153.5(125.8,188)	1	0.49
2016/17	55.9(27.3,122)	50.2(24,106.6)	149.3(114.7,215.3)	1	0.5
2017/18	59.6(23.3,176.6)	53.1(20.6,162.3)	151.2(105.3,273.1)	1	0.52
2018/19	61.5(21.6,186)	54.7(18.9,173.2)	155.3(96.9,297.5)	1	0.53
2019/20	59.7(18.2,181.6)	53.4(16,160.1)	157.9(88.5,310.6)	1	0.51
2020/21	59.4(17.2,182.7)	52.8(15.3,166.9)	158.6(84.2,318.5)	1	0.51

Table 13. Model 7 Parameters values (excluding recruitments, probability of maturing and fishing mortality parameters).

Parameter	Value	S.D. for estimated parameters	Estimated(Y/N)	Bounded (bounds)
Natural Mortality immature females and males	0.23		N	
Natural Mortality mature females and males	0.23		N	
Female intercept (a) growth	7.35	0.25	set equal to male	
Male intercept(a) growth	7.35	0.25	Y	
Female slope(b) growth	1.06	0.01	Y	
Male slope (b) growth	1.13	0.01	Y	
Alpha for gamma distribution of recruits	11.50		N	
Beta for gamma distribution of recruits	4.00		N	
Beta for gamma distribution female growth	0.75		N	
Beta for gamma distribution male growth	0.75		N	
Fishery selectivity total males slope	0.16	0.00	Y	
Fishery selectivity total males length at 50%	105.58	0.15	Y	
Fishery selectivity retention curve males slope	0.40	0.02	Y	
Fishery selectivity retention curve males length at 50%	96.88	0.15	Y	
Pot Fishery discard selectivity female slope	0.36	0.01	Y	
Pot Fishery discard selectivity female length at 50%			Y	
Trawl Fishery selectivity slope	0.09	0.00	Y	
Trawl Fishery selectivity length at 50%	94.46	1.67	Y	
Survey Q 1978-1981 male	1.00	0.00	Y	
Survey 1978-1981 length at 95% of Q male	56.99	3.04	Y	
Survey 1978-1981 length at 50% of Q male	38.36	1.45	Y	
Survey Q 1978-1981 Female	1.10	0.04	Y	
Survey 1978-1981 length at 95% of Q female	56.99	3.04	Set equal to Male	
Survey 1978-1981 length at 50% of Q female	38.36	1.45	Set equal to Male	
Survey Q 1982-1988 male	0.71	0.05	Y	
Survey 1982-1988 length at 95% of Q male	61.69	4.08	Y	
Survey 1982-1988 length at 50% of Q male	38.53	1.45	Y	
Survey Q 1982-1988 female	0.77	0.02	Y	
Survey 1982-1988 length at 95% of Q female	61.69	4.08	Set equal to Male	
Survey 1982-1988 length at 50% of Q female	38.53	1.45	Set equal to Male	

Table 13 cont. Model 7 Parameters values for the base model (Model 1), excluding recruitments, probability of maturing and fishing mortality parameters.

Parameter	Value	S.D. for estimated parameters	Estimated(Y/N)	Bounded (bounds)
Survey Q 1989-present male	0.71	0.03	Y	
Survey 1989-present, length at 95% of Q male	46.92	2.12	Y	
Survey 1989-present length at 50% of Q male	33.15	0.87	Y	
Female Survey Q 1989-present	0.78	0.02	Y	
Female Survey 1989-present, length at 95% of Q	47.15	1.78	Y	
Female Survey 1989-present length at 50% of Q	33.83	0.79	Y	
Male BSFRF 2009 Study area Q (availability)	0.25	0.05	Y	
Male BSFRF 2009 Study area length at 95% of Q	74.51	4.05	Y	
Male BSFRF 2009 Study are length at 50% of Q	63.86	2.01	Y	
Female BSFRF 2009 Study area Q (availability)	0.75	0.13	Y	
Female BSFRF 2009 Study area length at 95% of Q	60.99	2.54	Y	
Female BSFRF 2009 Study are length at 50% of Q	53.06	1.29	Y	
male BSFRF 2010 Study area Q (availability)	0.75	0.07	Y	
male BSFRF 2010 Study area length at 95% of Q	25.03		N	
male BSFRF 2010 Study are length at 50% of Q	25.00		N	
Female BSFRF 2010 Study area Q (availability)	1.71	0.16	Y	
Female BSFRF 2010 Study area length at 95% of Q	25.03		N	
Female BSFRF 2010 Study are length at 50% of Q	25.00		N	

Table 14. Weighting factors for likelihood equations.

Likelihood component	Weighting factor
Retained catch	10
Retained catch length comp	1
Total catch	10
Total catch length comp	1
Female pot catch	10
Female pot fishery length comp	0.2
Trawl catch	10
Trawl catch length comp	0.25
Survey biomass	survey cv by year
Survey length comp	1
Recruitment deviations	1
Fishing mortality average	1
Fishing mortality deviations	0.1
Initial length comp smoothness	1
Fishery cpue	0.14 (cv = 5.0)

Table 15. Model 7 estimated recruitments (male) and mature male biomass at mating with standard deviations. Recruits enter the population at the beginning of the survey year.

Survey year	Recruit (male,millions)	S.D.	MMB at mating (1000 tons)	S.D.
1978/79			145.0	9.9
1979/80	788.1	163.9	98.3	6.7
1980/81	710.0	149.8	66.8	5.0
1981/82	453.3	118.6	78.6	5.5
1982/83	177.2	77.8	129.2	9.2
1983/84	717.9	125.7	207.0	14.2
1984/85	1131.2	173.9	224.5	16.2
1985/86	1427.3	209.2	210.2	16.3
1986/87	2585.7	221.1	176.8	14.2
1987/88	398.2	150.1	156.6	11.8
1988/89	2458.6	74.0	166.0	10.9
1989/90	71.6	28.4	215.6	11.7
1990/91	304.3	43.0	205.7	10.0
1991/92	330.2	72.8	169.9	8.2
1992/93	3312.8	155.3	151.7	7.8
1993/94	621.2	97.5	152.9	8.1
1994/95	473.1	62.0	149.9	8.4
1995/96	101.7	32.4	176.8	10.1
1996/97	58.2	20.3	248.0	13.4
1997/98	93.4	32.2	258.5	14.9
1998/99	489.0	67.2	193.4	13.5
1999/00	423.1	62.7	171.4	11.9
2000/01	147.6	39.0	137.2	9.9
2001/02	139.2	40.2	116.9	9.1
2002/03	360.0	63.8	113.1	8.7
2003/04	745.2	99.1	123.5	8.8
2004/05	962.1	109.3	124.2	8.6
2005/06	397.9	86.9	113.0	8.1
2006/07	431.5	74.5	116.1	8.3
2007/08	105.1	40.4	132.0	9.8
2008/09	348.3	81.4	163.9	12.1
2009/10	1964.6	235.6	184.9	13.5
2010/11	730.6	128.3	179.0	13.9
2011/12	490.2	159.9		

Table 16. Likelihood values for model scenarios 1-7 (see table 7 for description).

Likelihood Component	1	2	3	4
Recruitment	33.26	32.45	32.03	33.16
Initial numbers old shell males small length bins	0.12	0.12	0.12	2.44
ret fishery length	-2023.49	-2042.39	-2043.26	-2055.39
total fish length	685.81	679.90	680.98	682.61
female fish length	155.58	154.64	155.42	155.03
survey length	3174.14	3174.57	3159.83	3176.16
trawl length	216.04	223.46	221.39	218.15
2009 BSFRF length	-92.01	-92.40	-91.54	-88.79
2009 NMFS study area length	-78.97	-79.74	-78.45	-79.08
M prior	0.00	29.97	23.25	0.00
maturity smooth	46.41	51.12	48.62	48.97
growth a	2.26	2.76	2.64	1.90
growth b	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05
2009 BSFRF biomass	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.15
2009 NMFS study area biomass	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.08
retained catch	3.28	2.77	2.97	3.05
discard catch	127.94	103.45	113.50	121.42
trawl catch	11.07	11.31	10.75	11.61
female discard catch	3.74	3.83	3.84	3.63
survey biomass	188.82	171.52	175.12	166.78
F penalty	79.41	78.00	78.01	78.65
2010 BSFRF Biomass	0.45	0.66	0.36	0.75
2010 NMFS Biomass	1.84	2.47	1.50	2.46
initial numbers fit	519.40	518.11	518.66	516.02
2010 BSFRF length	-66.29	-66.15	-66.08	-71.04
2010 NMFS length	-85.79	-84.89	-85.78	-86.03
male survey selectivity smooth constraint	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.70
init nos smooth constraint	23.98	23.60	23.82	55.04
Total	2927.21	2899.38	2887.89	2901.47
Q	0.572	0.604	0.554	0.612
no. par	282	282	283	322
immat M	0.367	0.23	0.32	0.353
M mature females	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23
M mature males	0.23	0.326	0.315	0.23

Table 16 Cont.. Likelihood values for model scenarios 1-7 (see table 7 for description).

Likelihood Component	5	6	7
Recruitment	32.14	32.07	34.31
Initial numbers old shell males small length bins	0.12	2.33	0.12
ret fishery length	-2042.60	-2061.67	-2018.11
total fish length	679.82	679.52	684.34
female fish length	154.17	154.90	154.44
survey length	3174.34	3160.61	3210.91
trawl length	222.75	221.54	219.69
2009 BSFRF length	-90.40	-88.34	-93.32
2009 NMFS study area length	-79.49	-78.60	-81.05
M prior	29.23	15.53	0.00
maturity smooth	51.58	49.04	46.42
growth a	2.47	2.15	2.17
growth b	0.04	0.04	0.05
2009 BSFRF biomass	0.14	0.14	0.18
2009 NMFS study area biomass	0.07	0.06	0.17
retained catch	2.74	2.86	3.04
discard catch	103.32	111.94	112.62
trawl catch	11.08	11.09	12.38
female discard catch	3.82	3.74	3.70
survey biomass	174.30	162.24	184.68
F penalty	77.70	78.15	79.78
2010 BSFRF Biomass	0.78	0.52	1.44
2010 NMFS Biomass	2.47	2.00	4.07
initial numbers fit	518.14	514.92	518.28
2010 BSFRF length	-70.94	-71.06	-66.22
2010 NMFS length	-85.77	-85.91	-84.12
male survey selectivity smooth constraint	3.79	3.77	0.00
init nos smooth constraint	23.61	53.23	23.44
Total	2899.42	2876.83	2953.43
Q	0.604	0.583	0.714
no. par	322	323	281
immat M	0.23	0.319	0.23
M mature females	0.23	0.23	0.23
M mature males	0.325	0.299	0.23

Table 17. Likelihood values for model scenarios 0, 0.1, 0.2, 8, 9 and 10 (see table 7 for description). Scenarios 8, 9 and 10 use male growth fixed at the values estimated by Somerton for the 2011 growth study.

Likelihood Component	0	0.1	0.2
Recruitment	33.3683	32.8789	32.7383
Initial numbers old shell males small length bins	2.37776	0.123938	0.123938
ret fishery length	-2059.33	-2040.28	-2040.74
total fish length	677.601	679.375	679.605
female fish length	155.062	154.249	154.318
survey length	3178.53	3173.97	3174.86
trawl length	227.665	225.831	224.586
2009 BSFRF length	-100.241	-100.311	-92.3168
2009 NMFS study area length	-88.1308	-87.8913	-79.7531
M prior	20.4561	29.8323	28.6042
maturity smooth	50.0563	50.3606	50.1809
growth a	2.19013	1.86612	1.92223
growth b	0.042286	0.042944	0.042042
2009 BSFRF biomass	0.106554	0.083435	0.131566
2009 NMFS study area biomass	2.31907	2.54236	0.116716
retained catch	2.70201	2.78085	2.77884
discard catch	99.4939	101.808	102.786
trawl catch	12.6955	11.8113	11.5035
female discard catch	3.63494	3.72838	3.77804
survey biomass	151.792	169.368	171.092
F penalty	78.6925	78.2743	78.0787
2010 BSFRF Biomass	1.03157	0	0
2010 NMFS Biomass	8.9583	0	0
initial numbers fit	567.317	518.132	518.183
2010 BSFRF length	-65.0431	0	0
2010 NMFS length	-85.8137	0	0
male survey selectivity smooth constraint	0	0	0
init nos smooth constraint	48.9242	23.644	23.6284
Total	2926.458	3032.22	3046.247
Q	0.699	0.647	0.628
no. par	290	280	276
immat M	0.23	0.23	0.23
M mature females	0.23	0.23	0.23
M mature males	0.309	0.326	0.324

Table 17 Cont.. Likelihood values for model scenarios 0, 0.1, 0.2, 8, 9 and 10 (see table 7 for description). Scenarios 8, 9 and 10 use male growth fixed at the values estimated by Somerton for the 2011 growth study.

Likelihood Component	8	9	10
Recruitment	35.3104	33.126	34.9603
Initial numbers old shell males small length bins	0.123938	2.42097	0.123938
ret fishery length	-2006.4	-2048.96	-2001.5
total fish length	690.754	686.205	690.64
female fish length	156.42	154.596	154.493
survey length	3180.77	3195.93	3230.14
trawl length	221.258	225.662	221.853
2009 BSFRF length	-89.1255	-89.1945	-90.1704
2009 NMFS study area length	-78.3186	-79.9115	-80.9199
M prior	0	20.8361	0
maturity smooth	46.449	52.9964	48.2517
growth a	0.009091	0.052985	0.065353
growth b	0.040061	0.033659	0.041965
2009 BSFRF biomass	0.150115	0.198491	0.272051
2009 NMFS study area biomass	0.160721	0.223759	0.301716
retained catch	4.4159	3.67513	3.94134
discard catch	175.858	144.54	152.391
trawl catch	11.3347	11.6535	12.0323
female discard catch	3.7207	3.75456	3.68877
survey biomass	186.126	159.468	186.448
F penalty	82.3191	77.3308	78.6398
2010 BSFRF Biomass	0.701864	1.16674	1.89399
2010 NMFS Biomass	2.88277	4.07654	5.46822
initial numbers fit	518.295	513.984	517.239
2010 BSFRF length	-63.4587	-63.4423	-63.6781
2010 NMFS length	-85.3413	-83.827	-83.1308
male survey selectivity smooth constraint	0	0	0
init nos smooth constraint	24.2267	55.1101	23.5863
Total	3018.682	2981.705	3047.073
Q	0.6493	0.6989	0.753
no. par	277	277	276
immat M	0.405	0.23	0.23
M mature females	0.23	0.23	0.23
M mature males	0.23	0.31	0.23

Table 18. Changes in the September 2011 model and data from the September 2010 assessment.

Model Scenario	Description
Data	BSFRF 2010 study are data , biomass and length frequencies for BSFRF net and NMFS net in the study area. 2011 survey biomass and length frequencies 2010/11 directed fishery retained and discard catch and length frequencies. Groundfish discard length frequency data 2008-2010. 2010 groundfish discard catch
Model	Formulation of survey selectivity for NMFS net in the 2009 and 2010 study areas revised (see text). Revised code for estimation of growth transition matrix (bug in mfexp function) and truncated distribution 40mm above premolt bin. Growth curve estimated from 2011 growth study used in some scenarios (see text)



Figure 1. Catch (1000 t) from the directed snow crab pot fishery and groundfish trawl bycatch. Total catch is retained catch plus discarded catch after 50% discard mortality was applied. Discard catch was estimated from observer data 1992 to present. Discard for 1978 to 1991 was estimated in the model. Trawl bycatch is male and female bycatch from groundfish trawl fisheries with 80% mortality applied.

Figure 2. Exploitation rate estimated as the preseason GHL divided by the survey estimate of large male biomass (>101 mm) at the time the survey occurs (dotted line). The solid line is the retained catch divided by the survey estimate of large male biomass at the time the fishery occurs. Year is the survey year.



Figure 3. Exploitation fraction estimated as the catch biomass (total or retained) divided by the mature male biomass from the model at the time of the fishery (solid line is total and dotted line is retianed). The exploitation rate for total catch divided by the male biomass greater than 101 mm is the solid line with dots. Year is the year of the fishery.



Figure 4. Population total mature biomass (millions of pounds, solid line), model estimate of survey mature biomass (dotted line) and observed survey mature biomass with approximate lognormal 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 5. Standardized residuals for model fit to total mature biomass from Figure 4.



Figure 6. Observed survey numbers (millions of crab) by carapace width and year for male snow crab.



Figure 7. Observed survey numbers (millions of crab) by carapace width and year for female snow crab.



Figure 8. Observed survey numbers 1978 to 1992 by length, males circles, females solid line.



Figure 8 continued. Observed survey numbers 1993 to 2010 by length, males circles, females solid line.



Figure 9. 2003/04 pot fishery retained catch in numbers by statistical area. Longitude in negative degrees. Areas are 1 degree longitude by 0.5 degree latitude.



Figure 10. 2006/07 snow crab pot fishery retained catch(million lbs) by statistical area. Longitude increases from west to east (190 degrees = 170 degrees W longitude). Areas are 1 degree longitude by 0.5 degree latitude.



Figure 11. 2008/09 snow crab pot fishery retained catch(million lbs) by statistical area. Statistical areas are 1 degree longitude by 0.5 degree latitude.



Figure 12. 2010 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of males > 77 mm by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue.



Figure 13. 2010 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of males < 78 mm by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue.



Figure 14. 2010 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of males > 101 mm by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue.



Figure 15. 2010 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of immature females by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue.



Figure 16. 2010 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of mature females with no eggs by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue.



Figure 17. 2010 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of mature females with <= half clutch of eggs by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue.



Figure 18. 2010 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of mature females with eggs by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue.



Figure 19. 2011 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of males > 77 mm by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue



Figure 20. 2011 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of males < 78 mm by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue.



Figure 21. 2011 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of males > 101 mm by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue



Figure 22. 2011 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of immature females by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue



Figure 23. 2011 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of mature females with no eggs by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue.



Figure 24. 2011 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of mature females with <= half clutch of eggs by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue.



Figure 25. 2011 Survey CPUE (million crab per nm2) of mature females with no eggs by tow. Filled circles are tows with 0 cpue.



Figure 26. Centroids of abundance of mature female snow crabs (shell condition 2+) in blue circles and mature males (shell condition 3+) in red stars (Ernst, et al. 2005).



Figure 27. Centroids abundance (numbers) of snow crab males > 101 mm from the summer NMFS trawl survey (red) and from the winter fishery (blue-green) (Ernst, et al. 2005).



Figure 28. Location of the side-by-side trawling areas (shown with pink shading) and the 3 BSFRF survey areas encompassing the 27 NMFS survey blocks (shown with a red line). Location of the 1998 auxiliary bag experiment sampling areas are the blue circles.



Figure 29. Abundance estimates of male snow crab by 5 mm carapace width(>=25mm) for the NMFS survey of the entire Bering Sea survey area (NMFS Bering Sea), the BSFRF net in the study area (108 tows) and the NMFS survey in the 2009 study area.



Figure 30. Abundance estimates of female snow crab by 5 mm carapace width for the NMFS survey of the entire Bering Sea survey area (NMFS Bering Sea), the BSFRF net in the study area (108 tows) and the NMFS survey in the 2009 study area.



Figure 31. Ratio of abundance in the 2009 study area from the NMFS net to the BSFRF net for male and female crab.



Figure 32. 2010 study area Male abundance.



Figure 33. 2010 study area Female abundance.



Figure 34. 2010 study area ratio of abundance



Figure 35. Male crab. Density (catch/nm2) of NMFS tow (d1) divided by sum of density (d2 is density of BSFRF tow). Solid line is unweighted mean, dotted line median of each length bin. A value of 0.5 is equal density (d1=d2). Length values are jittered to show multiple 1.0 and 0.0 data.



Figure 36. Density of NMFS tow (d1) divided by the sum of the density of the NMFS tow (d1) and the Industry tow (d2). The radius of the circle at each point is proportional to the sum of the catch in numbers where the Industry numbers are adjusted by the ratio of the NMFS area swept to the Industry area swept. The line is the unweighted mean values of d1/(d1+d2) in each size bin.



Figure 37. Percentage of paired tows where BSFRF caught no crab and NMFS caught only 1 crab.



Figure 38. Female d1/(d1+d2) with mean. Density (catch/nm2) of NMFS tow (d1) divided by sum of density (d2 is density of BSFRF tow). Solid line is mean, dotted line median of each length bin. A value of 0.5 is equal density (d1=d2). Length values are jittered to show multiple 1.0 and 0.0 data.



Figure 39. Mean from Figure 9 translated to selectivity (selectivity = p/(1-p), where p= d1/(d1+d2)).



Figure 40. Mean from Figure 38, female crab translated to selectivity (selectivity = p/(1-p), where p= d1/(d1+d2))



Figure 41. Histogram of d1/(d1+d2) over all sizes and tows. A value of 1.0 is a positive catch in the NMFS tow and a zero catch in the BSFRF tow. A value of 0.0 is a 0 catch in the NMFS tow and a positive catch in the BSRFR tow.



Figure 42. Histogram of d1/(d1+d2) for the 30 to 40 mm size bin. A value of 1.0 is a positive catch in the NMFS tow and a zero catch in the BSFRF tow. A value of 0.0 is a 0 catch in the NMFS tow and a positive catch in the BSRFR tow.



Figure 43. Histogram of d1/(d1+d2) for the 60 to 70 mm size bin. A value of 1.0 is a positive catch in the NMFS tow and a zero catch in the BSFRF tow. A value of 0.0 is a 0 catch in the NMFS tow and a positive catch in the BSRFR tow.



Figure 44. Histogram of d1/(d1+d2) for the 100 to 110 mm size bin. A value of 1.0 is a positive catch in the NMFS tow and a zero catch in the BSFRF tow. A value of 0.0 is a 0 catch in the NMFS tow and a positive catch in the BSRFR tow.



Figure 45. Histogram of d1/(d1+d2) for the 100 to 120 mm size bin. A value of 1.0 is a positive catch in the NMFS tow and a zero catch in the BSFRF tow. A value of 0.0 is a 0 catch in the NMFS tow and a positive catch in the BSRFR tow.



Figure 46. Histogram of d1/(d1+d2) for the 120+mm size bin. A value of 1.0 is a positive catch in the NMFS tow and a zero catch in the BSFRF tow. A value of 0.0 is a 0 catch in the NMFS tow and a positive catch in the BSRFR tow.



Figure 47. Weight (kg) – size (mm) relationship for male, juvenile female and mature female snow crab.



Figure 48. Probability of maturing by size estimated in the model for male(solid line) and female (dashed line) snow crab (<u>not</u> the average fraction mature). Triangles are values for females used in the 2009 assessment. Circles are values for males used in the 2009 assessment.



Figure 49. Clutch fullness for Bering Sea snow crab survey data by shell condition for 1978 to 2009.



Figure 50. Proportion of barren females by shell condition from survey data 1978 to 2009.



Figure 51. Fraction of barren females in the 2004 survey by shell condition and area north of 58.5 deg N and south of 58.5 deg N.



Figure 52. Fraction of barren females in the 2003 survey by shell condition and area north of 58.5 deg N and south of 58.5 deg N. The number of new shell mature females south of 58.5 deg N was very small in 2003.



Figure 53. Centroids of cold pool (<2.0 deg C) from 1982 to 2006. Centroids are average latitude and longitude.



Figure 54. Growth increment as a function of premolt size for male snow crab. Points labeled Bering Sea observed are observed growth increments from Rugolo (unpub data). The line labeled Bering Sea pred is the predicted line from the Bering Sea observed growth, which is used as a prior for the growth parameters estimated in the model. The line labeled Canadian is estimated from Atlantic snow crab (Sainte-Marie data). The line labeled Otto(1998) was estimated from tagging data from Atlantic snow crab less than 67 mm, from a different area from Sainte-Marie data.



Figure 55. Growth(mm) for male(dotted line) and female snow crab (solid line) estimated from the model (Model 7). Circles are the observed growth curve. Heavy dotted line is the growth curve estimated by Somerton from the 2011 growth study (post-molt CW = -0.75 + 1.39 Premolt CW – 0.0015 * (Premolt CW)^2. (Models 8,9 and 10)



Figure 56. Model 7. Selectivity curve for total catch (discard plus retained, solid line) and retained catch (dotted line) for combined shell condition male snow crab.



Figure 57. Model 7. Survey selectivity curves for female (dotted lines) and male snow crab (solid lines) estimated by the model for 1989 to present. Survey selectivities estimated by Somerton from 2009 study area data (2010) are the circles.



Figure 58. Model 7. Estimated total catch(discard + retained) (solid line), observed total catch (solid line with circles) (assuming 50% mortality of discarded crab) and observed retained catch (dotted line) for 1979 to 2008 fishery seasons.



Figure 59. Model 7. Model fit to groundfish bycatch from 1978 to 2010. Circles are observed catch, line is model estimate.



Figure 60. Model 7. Model fit to male directed discard catch for 1992/93 to 2010/11 and estimated male discard catch from 1978 to 1991.



Figure 61. Model 7. Model fit to female discard bycatch in the directed fishery from 1992/93 to 2010/11 and model estimates of discard from 1978 to 1991.



Figure 62. Model 7. Population female mature biomass (1000 t, solid line), model estimate of survey female mature biomass (dotted line) and observed survey female mature biomass with approximate lognormal 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 63. Population female mature biomass from the September 2010, Model 7 and Model 2.



Figure 64. Model 7. Population male mature biomass (1000 t, solid line), model estimate of survey male mature biomass (dotted line) and observed survey male mature biomass with approximate lognormal 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 65. Population male mature biomass from the September 2010 assessment, Model 7 and Model 2.



Figure 66. Model 7. Model estimated fraction of the total catch that is retained by size for male snow crab combined shell condition.



Figure 67. Model 7. Selectivity curve estimated by the model for bycatch in the groundfish trawl fishery for females and males.



Figure 68. Model 7. Model fit to the survey female size frequency data. Circles are observed survey data. Solid line is the model fit.



Figure 69. Model 7. Residuals of fit to survey female size frequency. Filled circles are negative residuals.



Figure 70. Model 7. Model fit to the survey male size frequency data. Circles are observed survey data. Solid line is the model fit.



Figure 71. Model 7. Residuals for fit to survey male size frequency. . Filled circles are negative residuals (predicted higher than observed).



Figure 72. Model 7. Summary over years of fit to survey length frequency data by sex. Dotted line is fit for females, circles are observed. Solid line is fit for males, triangles are observed.



Figure 73. Model 7. Observed survey numbers of males >101mm (circles), model estimates of the population number of males >101mm(solid line) and model estimates of survey numbers of males >101 mm (dotted line).



Figure 74. Model 7. Recruitment to the model for crab 25 mm to 50 mm. Total recruitment is 2 times recruitment in the plot. Male and female recruitment fixed to be equal. Solid horizontal line is average recruitment. Error bars are 95% C.I.



Figure 75. Model 7. Distribution of recruits to length bins estimated by the model.



Figure 76. Model 7. Model fit to the retained male size frequency data, shell condition combined. Solid line is the model fit. Circles are observed data. Year is the survey year.



Figure 77. Model 7. Summary fit to retained male length.



Figure 78. Model 7. Model fit to the total (discard plus retained) male size frequency data, shell condition combined. Solid line is the model fit. Circles are observed data. Year is the survey year.



Figure 79. Model 7. Summary fit to total length frequency male catch.



Figure 80. Model 7. Model fit to the discard female size frequency data. Solid line is the model fit. Circles are observed data. Year is the survey year.



Figure 81. Model 7. Summary fit to directed fishery female discards.



Figure 82. Model 7. Model fit to the groundfish trawl discard female size frequency data. Solid line is the model fit. Circles are observed data. Year is the survey year.



Figure 83. Model 7. Model fit to the groundfish trawl discard male size frequency data. Solid line is the model fit. Circles are observed data.



Figure 84. Model 7. Summary fit to groundfish length frequency.



Figure 85. Model 7. Full selection fishing mortality estimated in the model from 1978/79 to 2010/11 fishery seasons (1978 to 2010 survey years).



Figure 86. Model 7. Fit to pot fishery cpue for retained males (q is fixed in model). Solid line is observed fishery cpue, dotted line model fit.



Figure 87. Mature male biomass at mating for the September 2010 model, Model 7 and Model 2.



Figure 88. Model scenario 7. Mature Male Biomass at mating with 95% confidence intervals. Top horizontal line is B35%, lower line is ½ B35%.



Figure 89. Model 7. Spawner recruit estimates using male mature biomass at time of mating (1000t). Numbers are fertilization year assuming a lag of 5 years. Recruitment is half total recruits in thousands of crab.



Figure 90. Model 7. Survey selectivity curves entire Bering Sea survey for female (upper dashed line) and male snow crab (solid lines) estimated by the model for 1989 to present. Survey selectivities estimated by Somerton(2010) from 2009 study area data are the circles. Lower lines are survey selectivities in the study area for BSFRF male and female crab and NMFS male and female crab.



Figure 91. Model 7. 2010 study area survey selectivity curves (BSFRF and NMFS). BS are survey selectivity curves for the entire Bering Sea. Som is the selectivity curve estimated by Somerton from the 2009 study area data.



Figure 92. Model 7. Survey selectivity for male crab 1989- present (Model Bering Sea male), with selectivity curves estimated outside the model. 2009 study area is the curve estimated by Somerton from the 2009 study area data.



Figure 93. Model 7. Survey selectivity for female crab 1989- present (Model Bering Sea female),



Figure 94. Model 7. Survey selectivity curves for male crab in the entire Bering sea 1989-present (BS male), 2009 study area BSFRF male and 2009 study area NMFS male.



Figure 95. Model 7. Survey selectivity curves for male crab in the entire Bering sea 1989-present (BS male), 2010 study area BSFRF male and 2010 study area NMFS male.



Figure 96. Model 7. Survey selectivity curves for female crab in the entire Bering sea 1989-present (BS female), 2009 study area BSFRF female and 2009 study area NMFS female.



Figure 97. Model 7. Survey selectivity curves for female crab in the entire Bering sea 1989-present (BS female), 2010 study area BSFRF female and 2010 study area NMFS female.

.



Figure 98. Model 7. Model fit to length frequency for BSFRF and NMFS females and males in the study area.



Figure 99. Model 7. Fits to 2009 study area mature biomass by sex for BSFRF and NMFS data.



Figure 100. Model 7. Fits to 2010 study area mature biomass by sex for BSFRF and NMFS data.



Figure 101. Model 7. Fishing mortality estimated from fishing years 1979 to 20010/11 (labeled 11 in the plot). The OFL control rule (F35%) is shown for comparison. The vertical line is B35%, estimated from the product of spawning biomass per recruit fishing at F35% and mean recruitment from the stock assessment model.



Figure 102. Male survey selectivity for 1989-Present for models 1-10.



Figure 103. MMB at Mating/B35% from 1978/79 to 2010/11 for models 1-10.



Figure 104. History of exploitation rate on mature male biomass relative to the exploitation rate corresponding to fishing at F35%.



Figure 105. Log of recruits/MMB at mating with a 5 yr lag for recruitment and mature male biomass at mating.



Figure 106 Model 2. Population male mature biomass (1000 t, solid line), model estimate of survey male mature biomass (dotted line) and observed survey male mature biomass with approximate lognormal 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 107. Model 2. Population female mature biomass (1000 t, solid line), model estimate of survey female mature biomass (dotted line) and observed survey female mature biomass with approximate lognormal 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 108. Model 2. Observed survey numbers of males >101mm (circles), model estimates of the population number of males >101mm(solid line) and model estimates of survey numbers of males >101 mm (dotted line).



Figure 109. Model 2. Model fit to length frequency for BSFRF and NMFS females and males in the study area.



Figure 110. Model 2. Fits to 2009 study area mature biomass by sex for BSFRF and NMFS data.



Figure 111. Model 2. Fits to 2010 study area mature biomass by sex for BSFRF and NMFS data.



Figure 112. Model 2. Model fit to the survey female size frequency data. Circles are observed survey data. Solid line is the model fit.



Figure 113. Model 2. Residuals of fit to survey female size frequency. Filled circles are negative residuals.



Figure 114. Model 2. Summary over years of fit to survey length frequency data by sex. Dotted line is fit for females, circles are observed. Solid line is fit for males, triangles are observed.



Figure 115. Model 2. Model fit to the survey male size frequency data. Circles are observed survey data. Solid line is the model fit.



Figure 116. Model 2. Residuals for fit to survey male size frequency. . Filled circles are negative residuals (predicted higher than observed).



Figure 117. Total Likelihood and mature male natural mortality, using model scenario 2.



Figure 118. Total Likelihood and male survey Q for 1989-Present using model scenario 2.



Figure 119. Male survey Q 1989-Present estimated at fixed values of mature male natural mortality from 0.18 to 0.38.



Figure 120. Survey selectivitys for model scenario 5 with smooth availability (BSFRF) for male crab in the 2009 study area. BS is all Bering Sea 1989-Present, BSFRF and NMFS are for the 2009 study area.



Figure 121. Survey selectivitys for model scenario 5 with smooth availability (BSFRF) for male crab in the 2010 study area. BS is all Bering Sea 1989-Present, BSFRF and NMFS are for the 2010 study area.