Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding lack of management strategies for watershed protections, problem species, alternative descriptions, and unauthorized ATV traffic.


Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential adverse impacts to air quality and cultural resources. The final EIS should include information on potential air quality impacts from the fire flood oil recovery method and consideration of both direct and indirect project impacts to traditional cultural properties.


Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential impacts to air quality and human health in areas of concentrated use. Also, EPA expressed environmental concerns with the preferred alternative which potentially reduces protection to grizzly bear security.

ERP No. DC–AFS–L65155–00 Rating EC2, Northern Spotted Owl Management Plans, Removal or Modification of the Survey and Manage Mitigation Measure Standards and Guidelines in the Final Supplemental EIS (1994) and Final Supplement EIS (2002) for Amendments, Northwest Forest Plan, WA, CA and OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the protection of high risk species. An alternative limiting Survey and Manage requirements to a smaller number of species at high risk should be considered. More detail is needed on implementing special species programs, including a detailed implementation and proposed mitigation plan and for species removed from Survey and Manage.

Final EISs


Summary: EPA continues to have no objections to the project, since no major changes have been made. The preferred alternative was also the alternative favored by EPA.


Summary: EPA has remaining environmental concerns regarding protection of the watershed and ecological values. Implementation of the monitoring plan is critical to assuring that environmental improvements targets are met.


Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.


Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.


Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–COE–J28021–CO, Rueter-Hess Reservoir Project, Construction and Operation, Proposed Water Supply Reservoir and Off-Stream Dam, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Endangered Species Act (Section 7) Permit and Right-of-Way Use Permit, Located on Newlin Gulch along Cherry Creek, Town of Parker, Douglas County, CO.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.


Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.


Summary: EPA did not object to the preferred alternative to harvest and thin timber, use prescribed fire, and manage road systems. EPA continues to have environmental concerns with potential project effects to goshawk nest territories, and measures for implementing adequate oversight of the timber contractor during project implementation via stewardship contracting to adequately address environmental and ecological concerns.

ERP No. FS–COE–E36154–FL, Upper St. Johns River Basin and Related Areas, Central and Southern Florida Flood Control Project, Proposed Modifications to Project Features North of the Fallsmere Grade to Preserve and Enhance Floodplain and Aquatic Habitats, Brevard County, FL.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed project modifications to improve water quality.


Joseph C. Montgomery,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[BFR Doc. 03–22164 Filed 8–28–03; 8:45 am]
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Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability


Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.


EIS No. 030388, Draft EIS, CGD, WA, Seattle Monorail Project (SMP), Construct and Operate a 14-mile Monorail Transit System called the Green Line, Reviewing Green Line’s water crossing at the Lake Washington Ship Canal Bridge and Duwamish Waterway Bridge Modification, USCG
Bridge Permit, Endangered Species Act Section 7 Permit and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, City of Seattle, WA, Comment Period Ends: October 14, 2003, Contact: Austin Pratt (206) 220–7282.

EIS No. 030389, Draft EIS, FHWW, NC, Greensboro-High Point Road (NC–1486–NC–4121) Improvements from U.S. 311 (I–74) to Hilltop Road (NC–1424), Cities of Greensboro and High Point, the Town of Jamestown, Guilford County, NC, Comment Period Ends: October 14, 2003, Contact: John F. Sullivan (919) 856–4346.


EIS No. 030393, Draft EIS, NOAA, TX, MS, FL, LA, AL, Generic Essential Fish Habitat Amendment to the Fishery Management Plans of the Gulf of Mexico (GOM) for Shrimp Fishery; Red Drum Fishery; Spiny Lobster Fishery of the GOM and South Atlantic Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of GOM and South Atlantic, TX, MS, FL and AL, Comment Period Ends: November 26, 2003, Contact: Roy E. Crabbtree (727) 570–5301.


EIS No. 030396, Final EIS, CGD, LA, Port Pelican Deepwater Port Construction and Operation, License Approval, Vermillion Lease Block 140 on the Continental Shelf in the Gulf of Mexico southwest of Freshwater City, LA, Wait Period Ends: October 2, 2003, Contact: Mark A. Prescott (202) 267–0225. This document is available on the Internet at: http://dms.dot.gov.


Joseph C. Montgomery,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.
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AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed Administrative Order on Consent and opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) is proposing to enter into an administrative settlement to resolve claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq. In accordance with EPA guidance, notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement pursuant to section 122(h)(1) of CERCLA concerning the Sav-Cote Chemical Labs. Superfund Site, located in Lakewood, New Jersey. Notice is being published to inform the public of the proposed settlement and provide an opportunity to comment. This settlement is intended to resolve the civil liability of certain responsible parties for response costs incurred by EPA at the Sav-Cote Chemical Labs. Superfund Site. CERCLA provides EPA the authority to settle certain claims for response costs incurred by the United States with the approval of the Attorney General of the United States.

The proposed settlement provides that the potentially responsible parties Mr. William Moskowitz and Mrs. Joan Moskowitz will pay $67,486.11, in addition to $607,513.89 already paid by Mr. William Moskowitz, in reimbursement of response costs incurred by EPA in performing a removal action to remove the contaminants and hazardous substances from the Sav-Cote Chemical Labs. Superfund Site in return for a covenant not sue under section 107 of CERCLA from the United States.

DATES: Comments must be provided on or before September 29, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Regional Counsel, 290 Broadway—17th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1468 and should refer to: In the Matter of Sav-Cote Chemical Labs. Superfund Site, William Moskowitz and Joan...